Acetylated tau, a novel pathological signature in Alzheimer's disease and other tauopathies
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The microtubule-binding protein, tau, is the major component of neurofibrillary inclusions characteristic of Alzheimer’s disease and related neurodegenerative tauopathies. When tau fibrillizes, it undergoes abnormal post-translational modifications resulting in decreased solubility and altered microtubule-stabilizing properties. Recently, we reported that the abnormal acetylation of tau at lysine residue 280 is a novel, pathological post-translational modification. Here, we performed detailed immunohistochemistry to further examine acetylated-tau expression in Alzheimer’s disease and other major tauopathies. Immunohistochemistry using a polyclonal antibody specific for acetylated-tau at lysine 280 was conducted on 30 post-mortem central nervous system regions from patients with Alzheimer’s disease (10 patients), corticobasal degeneration (5 patients), and progressive supranuclear palsy (5 patients). Acetylated-tau pathology was compared with the sequential emergence of other tau modifications in the Alzheimer’s disease hippocampus using monoclonal antibodies to multiple well-characterized tau epitopes. All cases studied showed significant acetylated-tau pathology in a distribution pattern similar to hyperphosphorylated-tau. Acetylated-tau pathology was largely in intracellular, thioflavin-S-positive tau inclusions in Alzheimer’s disease, and also thioflavin-S-negative pathology in corticobasal degeneration and progressive supranuclear palsy. Acetylated-tau was present throughout all stages of Alzheimer’s disease pathology, but was more prominently associated with pathological tau epitopes in moderate to severe-stage cases. These temporal and morphological immunohistochemical features suggest acetylation of tau at this epitope is preceded by early modifications, including phosphorylation, and followed by later truncation events and cell death in Alzheimer’s disease. Acetylation of tau at lysine 280 is a pathological modification that may contribute to tau-mediated neurodegeneration by both augmenting losses of normal tau properties (reduced solubility and microtubule assembly) as well as toxic gains of function (increased tau fibrillization). Thus, inhibiting tau acetylation could be a disease-modifying target for drug discovery target in tauopathies.

Keywords: Alzheimer’s disease; tauopathy; acetylation; post-translational modification; tau
Introduction

Tau, an intracellular protein involved in promoting microtubule stability and neuronal survival, is the major component of inclusions seen in Alzheimer’s disease and other related neurodegenerative tauopathies (Lee et al., 2001). Under normal conditions, tau is a highly soluble protein lacking significant secondary structure (Schweers et al., 1994). However, it undergoes several post-translational modifications resulting in fibrillation into straight- and paired-helical filaments. Paired-helical filaments coalesce to form neurofibrillary tangles, the hallmark lesions in Alzheimer’s disease. Significantly, the burden of tau pathology correlates well with clinical symptoms of dementia in Alzheimer’s disease (Jack et al., 2010).

Biochemical and immunohistochemical experiments using monoclonal antibodies raised to epitopes from Alzheimer’s disease brain homogenates (Wolozin et al., 1986) and purified paired-helical filaments (Novak et al., 1989) have determined that tau undergoes abnormal folding (Carmel et al., 1996; Jicha et al., 1997a), hyperphosphorylation at multiple serine and threonine residues and C-terminal truncation (Novak et al., 1993) during neurofibrillary tangle-induced neurodegeneration in Alzheimer’s disease (Buee et al., 2000). Indeed, tau hyperphosphorylation in vitro inhibits tau microtubule-binding activity (Biernat et al., 1993; Bramblett et al., 1993) and tau isolated from Alzheimer’s disease autopsy tissue is highly phosphorylated (Lee et al., 1991). However, the direct role of hyperphosphorylation in tau aggregation is less clear, since in vitro experiments suggest this augments tau aggregation (Liu et al., 2007; Rankin et al., 2007), while phosphorylation at some tau residues appears to inhibit paired-helical filament formation (Schneider et al., 1999). Moreover, many tau phosphorylation sites also are found in normal control (Matsuo et al., 1994) and foetal brain tissue (Bramblett et al., 1993), although overall these sites are more extensively phosphorylated in Alzheimer’s disease compared with normal brain (Mercken et al., 1992; Matsuo et al., 1994; Hoffmann et al., 1997). Since hyperphosphorylated foetal tau does not form inclusions, and non-phosphorylated tau can aggregate and fibrillize in vitro, hyperphosphorylation alone cannot fully explain the formation of tau pathology in Alzheimer’s disease (Buee et al., 2000; Lee et al., 2001). Therefore, additional modifications of tau may influence its solubility and function as well as contribute to the pathobiology of tau inclusion formation.

Recently, tau was demonstrated to be modified by lysine acetylation (Min et al., 2010; Cohen et al., 2011) and we showed that tau undergoes acetylation at lysine 280 (K280) in the second microtubule-binding repeat of tau isoforms with four microtubule-binding repeats (i.e. 4R-tau) (Cohen et al., 2011). Acetylation at K280 inhibited tau-dependent microtubule assembly and increased tau fibrillation in vitro, while an acetylated K280 tau-specific polyclonal antibody labelled tau inclusions in Alzheimer’s disease and other 4R-tau tauopathies, as well as in tau transgenic mouse models of tauopathies (Cohen et al., 2011). In addition, acetylated K280 was not detectable in normal control human and mouse brain, suggesting that acetylation of tau at this residue is a pathogenic modification in neurofibrillary tangle formation.

To determine the significance of tau acetylation, we performed an extensive immunohistochemical analysis to define the regional distribution of acetylated K280 immunoreactive tau pathology in Alzheimer’s disease and two tauopathies with prominent 4R-tau pathology, i.e. corticobasal degeneration and progressive supranuclear palsy. We also characterized the emergence of acetylated K280-immunoreactive tangles in relation to those neurofibrillary changes induced by misfolded tau and hyperphosphorylated tau in the Alzheimer’s disease hippocampus to assess the temporal course of acetylated K280-immunoreactive tau pathology in tangle formation.

Materials and methods

Patient selection

Cases were selected from the Centre for Neurodegenerative Disease Research (CNDR) Brain Bank at The University of Pennsylvania following formal neuropathological diagnosis as previously described (Forman et al., 2006) and in accordance with local institutional review board guidelines. Pathological diagnosis, demographic information and post-mortem interval (Table 1) were obtained from the CNDR integrated neurodegenerative disease database (Xie et al., 2011). We sampled 30 regions of the CNS in 10 cases of Alzheimer’s disease, five cases of corticobasal degeneration and five cases of progressive supranuclear palsy to examine regional distributions of acetylated K280-immunoreactive tau pathology. Further detailed morphological assessment was performed in hippocampal sections in Alzheimer’s disease cases over a range of severity stages (Braak and Braak, 1991) including 10 severe (Braak V and VI) and five moderate (Braak III and IV) stage Alzheimer’s disease cases, as well as five mild (Braak I and II) stage controls.

Immunohistochemistry

Fresh tissue obtained at autopsy was fixed in 70% ethanol and 150 mmol sodium chloride, paraffin-embedded and 6-μm sections were cut and stained for immunohistochemistry as previously described (Forman et al., 2006; Cohen et al., 2011) utilizing an avidin–biotin complex detection system (VECTASTAIN® ABC kit; Vector Laboratories) with 3,3′-diaminobenzidine as the chromogen. Slides were pretreated for antigen retrieval with 88% formic acid or boiling in a pressure cooker using a citric acid unmasking solution (Vector Laboratories), with the exception of single-label experiments using monoclonal antibodies Alz50 and paired-helical filament-1.

The affinity-purified polyclonal antibody specific for acetylated K280 tau was prepared and specificity characterized as described (Cohen et al., 2011). A polyclonal antibody specific for tau lacking acetylation at K280 (N-K280) was prepared using serum from rabbits immunized previously with a tau peptide spanning lysine 280 (VQIINKK) and a double affinity purification was performed (Thermo Scientific), in which acetylated K280-immunoreactive antibodies were depleted from serum prior to enrichment for the non-acetylated K280 antibody. Specificity was determined by western blotting of acetylated and non-acetylated K18 tau (data not shown). Other tau-specific monoclonal antibodies (Wolozin et al., 1986; Kosik et al., 1988; Novak et al., 1989; Mercken et al., 1992; Otvos et al., 1994; Seubert et al., 1995; Jicha et al., 1997a, b; Ghoshal et al., 2002; de Silva et al., 2003; Guillozet-Bongaarts et al., 2005) used in this study...
are summarized in Table 2. All primary antibodies were incubated overnight at 4°C, and species-specific biotinylated secondary antibodies were incubated for 1 h at room temperature as described (Forman et al., 2006; Cohen et al., 2011).

Double-label immunofluorescence was performed using these primary antibodies, and also a monoclonal antibody specific for glial fibrillary acidic protein (CNDR). Alexa Fluor 488 and 594 species-specific conjugated secondary antibodies (Molecular Probes) were incubated overnight at 4°C. Slides were treated for autofluorescence using a 0.3% Sudan Black solution and cover-slipped with Vectashield-DAPI mounting medium (Vector Laboratories). Double-label immunofluorescence experiments using thioflavin-S and polyclonal antibody against acetylated K280 were first stained with thioflavin-S, followed by overnight incubation with the acetylated K280 polyclonal antibody, as described (Cohen et al., 2011).

Digital images of immunohistochemical results were obtained using an Olympus BX 51 microscope equipped with a bright-field and fluorescence light source with a DP-71 digital camera (Olympus) and DP manager software (Olympus). Digital images for immunofluorescence experiments were overlaid into a merge channel using Adobe Photoshop, version 9.0.2 (Adobe Systems).

### Microscopic and statistical analysis

Regional distribution of acetylated K280 immunoreactive was assessed in 30 representative cortical and subcortical regions (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Figs 1 and 2). These regions were examined with direct comparison of acetylated K280 immunoreactive to paired-helical filament-1-immunoreactive for each case using a semi-quantitative scale for overall burden of tau pathology (0 = none, 1 = mild, 2 = moderate, 3 = severe). Scoring was based on the area of highest severity in each slide. The median semi-quantitative score for each region was calculated from grouped data from all patient cases in each disease group (SPSS 15.0, SPSS).

Quantification of acetylated K280-immunoreactive neurofibrillary tangles was performed on digital images from three semi-random

### Table 1 Summary of patient demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neuropathological diagnosis</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Age, years (SD)</th>
<th>Sex (F/M)</th>
<th>PMI (SD)</th>
<th>Brain weight, g (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alzheimer’s disease (Braak V and VI)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>75.2 (7.8)</td>
<td>(5/6)</td>
<td>10.5 (6.8)</td>
<td>1132.5 (131.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alzheimer’s disease (Braak III and IV)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>79.6 (5.3)</td>
<td>(2/3)</td>
<td>8.9 (4.4)</td>
<td>1184.2 (154.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal (Braak I and II)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>74.2 (11.0)</td>
<td>(3/2)</td>
<td>11.1 (6.0)</td>
<td>1264.8 (175.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progressive supranuclear palsy</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>76.6 (9.6)</td>
<td>(1/4)</td>
<td>12 (5.7)</td>
<td>1257.6 (200.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corticobasal degeneration</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>64.8 (14.6)</td>
<td>(1/4)</td>
<td>11.7 (5.7)</td>
<td>1071 (114.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PMI = post-mortem interval from death to autopsy (hours); SD = standard deviation.

### Table 2 Tau-specific antibodies employed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibody</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Epitope</th>
<th>Dilution</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ac-K280</td>
<td>Polyclonal (affinity purified)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>ac-K280</td>
<td>1:250–500</td>
<td>CNDR</td>
<td>Cohen et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-K280</td>
<td>Polyclonal (affinity purified)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Unmodified K280 amino acids 5–15;312–322 (conformation)</td>
<td>1:100–500</td>
<td>CNDR</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alz 50</td>
<td>Monoclonal (supernatant)</td>
<td>IgM</td>
<td>amino acids 5–15;312–322 (conformation)</td>
<td>1:100</td>
<td>Dr P Davies</td>
<td>Wolozin et al., 1986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC1</td>
<td>Monoclonal (supernatant)</td>
<td>IgG1</td>
<td>amino acids 5–15;312–322 (conformation)</td>
<td>1:100</td>
<td>Dr P Davies</td>
<td>Jicha et al., 1997a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN423</td>
<td>Monoclonal (supernatant- purified)</td>
<td>IgG2b</td>
<td>t-E391</td>
<td>1:200 000–250 000</td>
<td>Dr M Novak</td>
<td>Novak et al., 1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG3</td>
<td>Monoclonal (supernatant)</td>
<td>IgM</td>
<td>p-thr231 (conformation)</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td>Dr P Davies</td>
<td>Jicha et al., 1997b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RD4</td>
<td>Monoclonal (supernatant- purified)</td>
<td>IgG</td>
<td>amino acids 275–291</td>
<td>1:10 000</td>
<td>Millipore</td>
<td>de Silva et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHF-1</td>
<td>Monoclonal (supernatant)</td>
<td>IgG1</td>
<td>p-ser396, 404</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td>Dr P Davies</td>
<td>Otvos et al., 1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT8</td>
<td>Monoclonal (supernatant- purified)</td>
<td>IgG1</td>
<td>p-ser199,202,thr205</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td>Thermo Scientific</td>
<td>Mercken et al., 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT100</td>
<td>Monoclonal (supernatant- purified)</td>
<td>IgG1</td>
<td>p-thr212,ser214</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td>Thermo Scientific</td>
<td>Mercken et al., 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12E8</td>
<td>Monoclonal (ascites-purified)</td>
<td>IgG</td>
<td>p-ser262, ser356</td>
<td>1:10 000</td>
<td>Elan Pharm.</td>
<td>Seubert et al., 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tau 12</td>
<td>Monoclonal (supernatant- purified)</td>
<td>IgG1</td>
<td>amino acids 9–18 (N-terminus)</td>
<td>1:10 000</td>
<td>Covance</td>
<td>Ghoshal et al., 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T46.1</td>
<td>Monoclonal (supernatant- purified)</td>
<td>IgG1</td>
<td>amino acids 428–441 (C-terminus)</td>
<td>1:5000</td>
<td>CNDR</td>
<td>Kosik et al., 1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tau-C3</td>
<td>Monoclonal (supernatant- purified)</td>
<td>IgG1</td>
<td>t-D421</td>
<td>1:5000</td>
<td>Dr Li Binder</td>
<td>Guillozet-Bongaarts et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ac = acetylation; p- = phosphorylation; t = truncation; NA = not available.
Regional distribution was typical for Alzheimer's disease, with greatest severity in limbic and cortical grey matter. Bar graph depicts colour map of severity score ranging from no pathology (0 = green) to severe (3 = red). Blue regions were not evaluated. Brain regions evaluated include: 1 = midfrontal cortex grey matter; 2 = orbitofrontal cortex grey matter; 3 = motor cortex grey matter; 4 = sensory cortex grey matter; 5 = superior/mid temporal cortex grey matter; 6 = angular cortex grey matter; 7 = visual cortex grey matter; 8 = anterior cingulate cortex grey matter; 9 = midbrain; 10 = pons; 11 = medulla; 12 = cervical spinal cord; 13 = cerebellar cortex; 14 = cerebellar white matter; 15 = dentate nucleus; 16 = hypothalamus; 17 = substantia nigra; 18 = mid frontal cortex white matter; 19 = superior/mid temporal cortex white matter; 20 = lentiform nucleus; 21 = striatum; 22 = amygdala; 23 = anterior cingulate gyrus white matter; 24 = motor cortex white matter; 25 = sensory cortex white matter; 26 = angular cortex white matter; 27 = thalamus; 28 = entorhinal cortex grey matter; 29 = hippocampal formation (cornu ammonis 1–4/subiculum); and 30 = entorhinal cortex white matter.
Results

Regional distribution

Significant acetylated K280 immunoreactivity was observed in pathological inclusions in all cases examined. Acetylated-K280 immunoreactivity displayed a similar distribution and pathological burden to hyperphosphorylated tau, using the monoclonal antibody paired-helical filament-1, in Alzheimer’s disease (Fig. 1), progressive supranuclear palsy and corticobasal degeneration (Supplementary Figs 1 and 2). There were no brain regions where acetylated K280 immunoreactivity was seen in the absence of paired-helical filament-1-immunoreactive tau pathology. In some cases, regions with rare paired-helical filament-1-immunoreactive inclusions had no acetylated-K280-immunoreactive tangles, while in most severely affected regions the acetylated-K280-immunoreactive tangle burden was similar to paired-helical filament-1-immunoreactivity (Supplementary Tables 1–3).

Morphological features of acetylated K280-immunoreactive tau pathology

The acetylated K280 polyclonal antibody stained all key pathological inclusions in Alzheimer’s disease, corticobasal degeneration and progressive supranuclear palsy, including neurofibrillary tangles, neuropil threads and neuritic plaques in Alzheimer’s disease, tufted astrocytes, coiled bodies and globose tangles in progressive supranuclear palsy, and astrocytic plaques, coiled bodies and ballooned neurons in corticobasal degeneration (Fig. 2). Smaller, diffuse threads were less prominent in acetylated K280-stained sections for all diseases. This difference was most evident in Alzheimer’s disease, where neuropil threads were minimal compared with paired-helical filament-1 staining. This is in contrast to the similar levels of acetylated-K280-immunoreactive neurofibrillary tangles and large dystrophic neurites associated with neuritic plaques. This observation was consistent when immunohistochemistry was performed at multiple dilutions of the primary antisera and with several different antigen retrieval methods (data not shown).

Interestingly, quantification of cortical layer II neurofibrillary tangles in cases with corticobasal degeneration showed less acetylated K280 immunoreactivity than paired-helical filament-1-immunoreactive lesions (Supplementary Table 4), while acetylated K280-immunoreactive astrocytic plaques in corticobasal degeneration and neuronal reactivity in globose tangles of progressive supranuclear palsy cases were comparable with paired-helical filament-1 (Fig. 2).

The most robust acetylated-K280 immunoreactivity was observed in Alzheimer’s disease intracellular tangles. A subset of these neurofibrillary tangles appeared granular and non-confluent, resembling pre-tangles; however, the majority were mature intracellular neurofibrillary tangles. Since the acetylated K280 epitope is located in the second microtubule-binding repeat, and is specific for 4R tau isoforms, and 4R tau specific antibodies also detect intracellular neurofibrillary tangles but to a lesser extent neuropil threads (Yoshida, 2006) and ghost tangles (Yoshida, 2006; Espinoza et al., 2008), we compared serial sections of hippocampus from cases with Braak Stage V and VI Alzheimer’s disease (n = 5) stained with acetylated K280, affinity-purified antisera specific for the non-acetylated K280 epitope, and a 4R-specific monoclonal antibody (RD4) to determine the distribution of acetylated and non-acetylated K280, as well as E10, in neurofibrillary tangles. As shown in Fig. 3, both non-acetylated K280 and RD4 stained more neuropil threads than acetylated K280 (P < 0.05), although all three antibodies detected neurofibrillary tangles to a similar extent (Table 3). The higher level of neuropil thread reactivity with these monoclonal antibodies illustrates the unique pathological signature of acetylated K280, compared with non-acetylated (N-K280) and total (RD4) 4R-tau.

Comparison with tau epitopes across varying stages of Alzheimer’s disease severity

Previous studies have used anti-tau and anti-neurofibrillary tangle antibodies to document the evolution of neurofibrillary tangles by comparing the emergence and sequential appearance of hyperphosphorylation, conformational changes, and C- and N-terminal tau truncation neoepitopes in Alzheimer’s disease brains at different Braak stages (Garcia-Sierra et al., 2003; Guillozet-Bongaarts et al., 2005; Luna-Munoz et al., 2005; Mondragon-Rodriguez et al., 2008). These studies provide a model of tangle progression, with some epitopes being present in earlier forms of pathology (i.e. pre-tangles), and are mutually exclusive to ‘late’ epitopes seen mostly in intracellular and ghost tangles.

To determine when acetylation of K280 occurs during neurofibrillary tangle development, we examined serial sections of Alzheimer’s disease hippocampus from varying stages of disease with several well characterized tau antibodies. The monoclonal antibody Alz50 (Wolozin et al., 1986; Carmel et al., 1996), a conformation-specific epitope, previously shown to occur early in neurofibrillary tangle formation due to its presence in early Alzheimer’s disease cases (Mena et al., 1991) and co-localization with antibodies specific to the intact N- and C-terminal tau residues (Garcia-Sierra et al., 2003) was chosen as an early stage neurofibrillary tangle marker. On the other hand, MN423 (Wischik et al., 1988; Novak et al., 1989, 1991), an antibody specific for the C-terminal truncation of tau at Q391, was chosen as a late stage neurofibrillary tangle marker since it recognizes a neoepitope in neurofibrillary tangles (Novak et al., 1993) and reacts well with extracellular tangles (Garcia-Sierra et al., 2001). Paired-helical filament-1 was also included in the analysis, as hyperphosphorylation at S396/404 is thought to predate conformational and truncation steps (Garcia-Sierra et al., 2003).

Analysis of the median difference in the average tangle count per field between acetylated K280 immunoreactivity and the other tau antibodies showed that acetylated K280-immunoreactive
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Figure 2  Acetylated-K280 reactive pathology in the major 4-R tau isoform containing tauopathies. Mid-temporal cortex sections from an Alzheimer’s disease (AD) case (A and B) immunostained with acetylated K280 (ac-K280; A) show key aspects of tau pathology including neurofibrillary tangles (asterisk), neuritic plaques (arrow) and neuropil threads. Compared with paired-helical filament-1-immunoreactivity (B), there is similar numbers of tangles with a lower density of neuropil threads. Midfrontal cortex (C and D) and midbrain (E and F) sections from a case with progressive supranuclear palsy (PSP) immunostained with acetylated K280 (C and E) showed characteristic tau pathologic inclusions tufted astrocytes (asterisk) and globose tangles (double asterisk). Compared with paired-helical filament-1-immunoreactivity (D and F) there was similar acetylated K280 reactivity in neuronal and compact glial inclusions. Angular gyrus grey (G and H) and white matter (I and J) sections from a case of corticobasal degeneration (CBD) immunostained with acetylated K280 (G and I) showing similar reactivity of astrocytic plaques (asterisk) and coiled bodies (double asterisk) with minimal reactivity in layer II neuronal tangles (arrows) compared with paired-helical filament-1-immunoreactivity (H and J). Scale bar = 100 µm.
neurofibrillary tangles were less apparent than paired-helical filament-1 (P < 0.05) or Alz50 staining in early Alzheimer’s disease stages and similar to paired-helical filament-1 in intermediate and late Alzheimer’s disease stages (Table 5). Acetylated-K280-immunoreactive intracellular tangles were more abundant in later Alzheimer’s disease stages than those detected by MN423 (P < 0.05) and Alz50, and also displayed fewer pre-tangles than Alz50 (P < 0.05), and fewer ghost tangles than MN423 (P < 0.05) (Table 5). Examination of layer II of entorhinal cortex showed distinctive populations of ghost tangles (Garcia-Sierra et al., 2001), which were highly reactive to paired-helical filament-1 and MN423 and minimally reactive in acetylated K280 stained sections (Supplementary Fig. 3). Thus, acetylated K280 immunoreactivity appears to occur later in relation to Alz50 and paired-helical filament-1-immunoreactivity, and earlier than MN423, with a predominance of reactivity in intracellular neurofibrillary tangles.

Co-localization of acetylated K280 immunoreactivity with multiple tau epitopes in Alzheimer’s disease

To confirm these observations of acetylated K280 immunoreactivity occurring as a relatively early intermediate between Alz50 and MN423 epitopes, double labelling experiments were performed using these monoclonal antibodies and thioflavin-S staining, which binds tau amyloid. Examination of the CA-1 region in Braak V and VI cases showed almost exclusive co-localization of acetylated K280 immunoreactive with thioflavin-S positive tangles (average 97.8 ± 2.3%) (Fig. 4), while some thioflavin-S-positive neurofibrillary tangles were not acetylated K280 immunoreactive. The majority of these thioflavin-S-positive, acetylated K280 negative inclusions resembled extracellular ghost tangles, which are released from dying tangle bearing neurons (Schmidt et al., 1988). Since these extracellular neurofibrillary tangles induce gliosis they display glial fibrillary acidic protein-immunoreactivity (Schmidt et al., 1988; Ikeda et al., 1992) and Braak Stage V and VI Alzheimer’s disease cases showed co-localization of acetylated K280 immunoreactive with glial fibrillary acidic protein immunoreactive ghost tangles. The extent of this co-localization varied considerably from case to case, with an average overlap of 18.1 ± 11.6% (Fig. 4). Co-localization of acetylated K280-immunoreactive neurofibrillary tangles was moderate for Alz50 (72.6 ± 5.5%) and was less evident for MN423 (30.7 ± 9.1%) (Fig. 4). Both monoclonal antibodies displayed mutually exclusive tangles that were either Alz50/MN423-immunoreactive or acetylated K280 immunoreactive only. The majority of exclusive MN423-immunoreactivity appeared to be predominantly ghost tangles. Thus, it appears that tau acetylation is found mostly in

Table 3
Quantification of neurofibrillary pathology detected by 4R tau isoform-dependent antibodies in the Alzheimer’s disease hippocampus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibodies</th>
<th>Acetylated-K280</th>
<th>RD4</th>
<th>Non-acetylated K280</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alzheimer’s disease stage</td>
<td>Pre-tangle</td>
<td>Tangle</td>
<td>Ghost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Braak V–VI</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.8</td>
<td>10.9 ± 3.3</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are average ± standard deviation of lesion count in 0.14 mm² field of cornu ammonis region-1 of hippocampus (n = 5 cases). Neurite score represents the median value for neuropil thread staining per 0.14 mm² field (interquartile range 25–75 percentile).

*P < 0.05 for comparison of acetylated-K280 neurite score to both RD4 and non-acetylated K280 neurite score (Wilcoxon signed-rank test).

Figure 3
Comparison of acetylated K280-immunoreactive inclusion morphology with 4R tau-specific antibodies. Serial sections of Alzheimer’s disease cornu ammonis region 1 stained with (A) acetylated K280m ac-K280, (B) the 4R-tau isoform-specific tau antibody, RD-4, and (C) affinity purified antisera specific for non-acetylated tau at residue K280 (N-K280). The predominance of intracellular tangles with minimal neuropil thread pathology seen in acetylated K280 is not evident using other 4R-specific antisera, confirming this unique morphology is due to the preferential expression of acetylated K280 tau in these lesions. Scale bar = 100 μm.
Using the acetylated K280 tau specific polyclonal antibody, we thoroughly evaluated diverse CNS regions in a large number of Alzheimer’s disease hippocampus throughout various Braak stages of disease. We demonstrate that acetylated K280 immunoreactivity is a significant marker of tau pathology in 4R tauopathies including Alzheimer’s disease, progressive supranuclear palsy and corticobasal degeneration cases with a detailed examination of Alzheimer’s disease hippocampus. Acetylated K280 immunoreactivity was also compared with several other phosphorylation- and conformation-dependent tau antibodies examined (Supplementary Fig. 4) and co-localized well with intracellular thioflavin-S-positive neurofibrillary tangles, and to a lesser extent in granular pre-tangles and extracellular ghost tangles. In addition, it appears to represent an intermediate stage between the early Alz50 and late MN423 epitopes.

Discussion

The minimal pre-tangle reactivity and weak neuritic staining observed here with acetylated K280 immunoreactivity in neuronal processes that could abolish this epitope. Acetylated K280, in contrast, co-expressed in a significant proportion of intracellular tangles for all antibodies tested.

**Table 4** Quantification of neurofibrillary pathology detected by ac-K280 and other tau epitopes throughout various stages of Alzheimer’s disease pathology.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibodies</th>
<th>Alzheimer’s disease stage</th>
<th>PHF-1</th>
<th>Az50</th>
<th>Ac-K280</th>
<th>MN423</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Braak I and II</td>
<td>Pre-tangle</td>
<td>Tangle</td>
<td>Ghost</td>
<td>Neurite score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.7</td>
<td>1.1 ± 1.6</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1 (0–1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Braak III and IV</td>
<td>2.0 ± 2.1</td>
<td>4.1 ± 1.9</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td>3 (2–3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Braak V and VI</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.8</td>
<td>14.7 ± 3.1</td>
<td>1.8 ± 1.5</td>
<td>3 (3–3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values represent average ± SD of lesion count in 0.14 mm² field of cornu ammonis region-1 of hippocampus (n = 5 cases). Neurite score represents the median value for neuropil thread staining per 0.14 mm² field (interquartile range 25–75 percentile).
Table 5 Difference in neurofibrillary pathology detected by acetylated K280 and other tau epitopes throughout various stages of Alzheimer’s disease pathology

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Epitope</th>
<th>Braak I and II</th>
<th>Braak III and IV</th>
<th>Braak V and VI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ac-K280- PHF1 tangles</td>
<td>−0.67 (−1.34 to −0.67)*</td>
<td>−1.67 (−2.33 to −1.67)</td>
<td>−2.67 (−4 to −0.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ac-K280-PHF1 pre-tangles</td>
<td>0 (0 to 0.33)</td>
<td>−1 (−3.33 to −0.67)</td>
<td>−0.67 (−1.33 to −0.033)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ac-K280-PHF1 ghost tangles</td>
<td>0 (0 to 0)</td>
<td>0 (−0.67 to 0)</td>
<td>0.33 (−0.34 to 0.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ac-K280-Alz50 tangles</td>
<td>−0.33 (−0.34 to 0)</td>
<td>−0.66 (−0.66 to 1)</td>
<td>7.34 (2.67 to 7.67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ac-K280-Alz50 pre-tangles</td>
<td>0 (0 to 0)</td>
<td>−2.33 (−3 to −0.67)*</td>
<td>−5 (−7 to −3.33)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ac-K280-Alz50 ghost tangles</td>
<td>0 (0 to 0)</td>
<td>0 (0 to 0)</td>
<td>1.33 (1 to 2)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ac-K280-Alz50 neurite score</td>
<td>−1 (−1 to 0)</td>
<td>−2 (−2.33 to −1.33)*</td>
<td>−2.33 (−2.67, −2.33)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ac-K280-MN423 tangles</td>
<td>0.33 (0 to 0.33)</td>
<td>0.67 (0.34 to 2.33)*</td>
<td>9.33 (7.67 to 9.66)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ac-K280-MN423 pre-tangles</td>
<td>0 (0 to 0.33)</td>
<td>0.33 (0 to 0.33)</td>
<td>0 (0 to 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ac-K280-MN423 ghost tangles</td>
<td>0 (0 to 0)</td>
<td>−0.67 (−2.67 to −0.33)*</td>
<td>−2.34 (−5 to −1)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ac-K280-MN423 neurite score</td>
<td>0 (0 to 0.33)</td>
<td>0 (0 to 0.33)</td>
<td>0 (−0.33 to 0.33)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values displayed are median (interquartile range 25–75 percentile) difference in average acetylated-K280-immunoreactive tangle count/neurite score and respective tau epitope.

*P < 0.05 Wilcoxon signed-rank test. Ac-K280 = acetylated-K280; PHF = paired-helical filaments.

The most striking feature of acetylated K280 immunoreactivity in Alzheimer’s disease was the prominent detection of intracellular tangles. This finding was evidenced by the almost exclusive co-localization of acetylated K280 immunoreactivity with thioflavin-S-positive neurofibrillary tangles, but not in neuropil threads detected by thioflavin-S or multiple other anti-tau antibodies examined here. Indeed, acetylated K280 was mostly associated with intracellular neurofibrillary tangles compared to pre-tangles or extracellular ghost tangles throughout all Braak stages. Similar findings have been reported for conformational and truncation tau epitopes that are thought to represent intermediate stages of tangle progression (Garcia-Sierra et al., 2003; Guillozet-Bongaarts et al., 2005). However, unlike these epitopes, acetylated K280 also co-localized with N- and C-terminal specific anti-tau epitopes (Supplementary Fig. 4), indicating it is present in neurofibrillary tangles prior to subsequent tau truncation. This is supported by our observations that acetylated K280 immunoreactivity did not co-localize well with the truncation-specific tau epitope, MN423 and detected less glial fibrillary acidic protein-immunoreactive ghost tangles. Interestingly, the variability in acetylated K280 immunoreactive ghost tangles suggests that de-acetylation of K280 could occur when neurofibrillary tangles are released into the extracellular space from dying tangle bearing neurons. Another possibility is masking of the epitope in the paired-helical filament core, although prolonged antigen retrieval steps did not reveal additional extracellular tangle staining. In this regard, acetylated K280 was similar to the early Alz50 epitope, in that both antibodies did not detect many extracellular ghost tangles; however, there was only partial co-localization with Alz50, especially for early pre-tangle structures, and acetylated K280 immunoreactivity outnumbered Alz50 in neurofibrillary tangles in cases with severe Alzheimer’s disease as this epitope was lost due to truncation events.

These data suggest that acetylation of K280 may be an intermediate step in tangle formation from threads and pre-tangle structures, which predominate in Alz50-immunoreactivity, is most associated with thioflavin-S-positive intracellular neurofibrillary tangles, and lost prior to the emerge of the majority of extracellular ghost tangles detected by MN423-immunoreactivity and glial fibrillary acidic protein-immunoreactivity.

Although pathological tau lesions in corticobasal degeneration and progressive supranuclear palsy do not react with amyloid-binding dyes (Dickson, 2004) such as thioflavin-S, and are thought to contain less post-translational modifications than in Alzheimer’s disease (Araki et al., 2004), they were robustly positive for acetylated K280. These tauopathies have minimal extracellular tau pathology, and several late tau epitopes are not present in corticobasal degeneration cortical sections is intriguing, and may suggest alternative pathological cascades of tau modifications in differing cell types. Indeed, others have also shown a dissociation of tau epitope expression between cell types in these tauopathies (Guillozet-Bongaarts et al., 2007).

Our data presented here suggest that acetylation of K280 in tau could play a mechanistic role in driving tau polymerization into neurofibrillary pathology and tau mediated neurodegeneration. We also previously identified three other potential tau acetylation sites, two of which are also in the microtubule-binding repeat (Cohen et al., 2011). Further examination of these epitopes may also suggest a potential dynamic interplay between acetylation and phosphorylation at multiple sites that may act synergistically in the pathogenesis of tau fibrillization. Thus, a better...
Figure 4 Quantification of co-localization of acetylated-tau pathology in Alzheimer’s disease neurofibrillary tangles. (A) Double-label experiments of the cornu ammonis region 1 (CA-1) pyramidal neurons showing moderate levels of co-localization (arrow) between the early Alz50 epitope and acetylated K280, with a subset of exclusively acetylated K280-immunoreactive neurofibrillary tangles (asterisk). (B) The majority of acetylated K280-immunoreactive tangles co-localized to thioflavin-S (ThS)-positive neurofibrillary tangles (arrow), with some exclusively thioflavin-S-labelled neurofibrillary tangles (double asterisk). (C) Co-localization of glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP)-immunoreactive ghost tangles in a subset of acetylated K280-immunoreactive tangles (arrow), with exclusively acetylated K280-immunoreactive intracellular neurofibrillary tangles (asterisk). (D) Co-localization was less evident for acetylated K280 and the late
understanding of the relationship of these post-translational modifications could be crucial to identify potential targets for therapy in Alzheimer's disease and other tauopathies, as well as biomarker development using acetylation-specific antibodies such as the acetylated K280 polyclonal antibody studied here. Although further work is required in cell and animal models to elucidate a possible functional role of tau acetylation in the pathogenesis of neurofibrillary tangles, such insights will advance efforts to test whether disruption of this process could prevent cell death and alter disease progression.
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