Memory reorganization following anterior temporal lobe resection: a longitudinal functional MRI study
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Anterior temporal lobe resection controls seizures in 50–60% of patients with intractable temporal lobe epilepsy but may impair memory function, typically verbal memory following left, and visual memory following right anterior temporal lobe resection. Functional reorganization can occur within the ipsilateral and contralateral hemispheres. We investigated the reorganization of memory function in patients with temporal lobe epilepsy before and after left or right anterior temporal lobe resection and the efficiency of postoperative memory networks. We studied 46 patients with unilateral medial temporal lobe epilepsy (25/26 left hippocampal sclerosis, 16/20 right hippocampal sclerosis) before and after anterior temporal lobe resection on a 3 T General Electric magnetic resonance imaging scanner. All subjects had neuropsychological testing and performed a functional magnetic resonance imaging memory encoding paradigm for words, pictures and faces, testing verbal and visual memory in a single scanning session, preoperatively and again 4 months after surgery. Event-related analysis revealed that patients with left temporal lobe epilepsy had greater activation in the left posterior medial temporal lobe when successfully encoding words postoperatively than preoperatively. Greater pre- than postoperative activation in the ipsilateral posterior medial temporal lobe for encoding words correlated with better verbal memory outcome after left anterior temporal lobe resection. In contrast, greater postoperative than preoperative activation in the ipsilateral posterior medial temporal lobe correlated with worse postoperative verbal memory performance. These postoperative effects were not observed for visual memory function after right anterior temporal lobe resection. Our findings provide evidence for effective preoperative reorganization of verbal memory function to the ipsilateral posterior medial temporal lobe due to the underlying disease, suggesting that it is the capacity of the posterior remnant of the ipsilateral hippocampus rather than the functional reserve of the contralateral hippocampus that is important for maintaining verbal memory function after anterior temporal lobe resection. Early postoperative reorganization to ipsilateral posterior or contralateral medial temporal lobe structures does not underpin better performance. Additionally our results suggest that visual memory function in right temporal lobe epilepsy is affected differently by right anterior temporal lobe resection than verbal memory in left temporal lobe epilepsy.
Introduction

Anterior temporal lobe resection (ATLR) has proven a successful treatment for patients with medically intractable temporal lobe epilepsy (TLE) (Wiebe et al., 2001) rendering 50–60% seizure-free at 10 years (de Tisi et al., 2011). Neuropsychological follow-up studies, however, have shown that this procedure can be complicated by cognitive decline that is usually material specific to the side of resection; verbal memory decline typically occurs after left (Hermann et al., 1995; Sabsevitz et al., 2001; Gleissner et al., 2002, 2004) and visual memory decline after right ATLR (Gleissner et al., 1998; Lee et al., 2002). Decline in verbal memory function has a greater impact on everyday memory functioning for individual patients, whereas a decline in visual memory is usually less relevant (Gleissner et al., 2004).

Functional MRI allows evaluation of cognitive function non-invasively and has proven to be a useful tool to investigate underlying neural mechanisms. Many functional MRI studies in the past concentrated on preoperative memory processing and how this changes in the course of the underlying disease and in particular the prediction of postoperative deficits (Rabin et al., 2004; Richardson et al., 2004; Janszky et al., 2005; Binder et al., 2008; Bonelli et al., 2010). Functional MRI may also be used to investigate the extent to which the brain may functionally reorganize following epilepsy surgery. Functional reorganization, pre- and post surgery, can occur within the unaffected ipsilateral or contralateral hemisphere, but under which circumstances reorganization becomes effective is poorly understood.

In a recent study we investigated verbal and visual memory function using a material-specific memory encoding paradigm before ATLR. We demonstrated that memory functional MRI was the strongest predictor of verbal and visual memory decline compared to other previously suggested predictors. Greater ipsilateral anterior medial temporal activation was associated with greater decline of verbal or visual memory function. We also found evidence that activation in the ipsilateral posterior medial temporal lobe, which is usually preserved during ATLR, was associated with better preservation of memory function after surgery (Bonelli et al., 2010). There is increasing evidence that in patients with TLE, memory outcome depends on the extent of the removal of non-lesional functional tissues (Helmstaedter et al., 2003, 2008; Alpherts et al., 2008; Schramm, 2008). Postoperative functional MRI follow-up studies are needed to determine the role of the posterior hippocampal remnant in memory function. This could lead to re-evaluation of more selective surgery in order to optimize risks of postoperative memory impairment in addition to seizure control.

To date, there is only one functional MRI study apart from a few case studies (Korsnes et al., 2009), in which memory function was systematically investigated before and after surgery. Postoperative memory function was assessed using a complex visual scene encoding task and was significantly associated with functional activation contralateral to the side of resection in nine patients with left TLE and eight with right TLE and therefore suggested a role for the contralateral medial temporal lobe in supporting postoperative memory (Cheung et al., 2009).

The present study represents the postoperative follow-up study of our preoperative series (Bonelli et al., 2010). We used a material-specific memory encoding paradigm: (i) to investigate postoperative recovery and reorganization of successful verbal and visual memory encoding in patients with left and right TLE before and 4 months after ATLR; and (ii) to investigate the efficiency of postoperative memory networks.

Materials and methods

Subjects

We studied 46 patients with medically refractory TLE [26 left (14 females); median age 41.5 years, range 17–63; 20 right (13 females); median age 34.5 years, range 23–52]. All underwent left or right ATLR at the National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery, London. Preoperatively, all patients had undergone detailed presurgical evaluation including structural MRI at 3 T with qualitative assessment and quantification of hippocampal volumes and T2 relaxation times by expert neuroradiologists (Woermann et al., 1998; Bartlett et al., 2007), prolonged interictal and ictal video-EEG monitoring and standardized neuropsychological and psychiatric assessment. Structural MRI showed unilateral hippocampal sclerosis in 25 patients with left TLE and 16 patients with right TLE; one patient had a left and one patient a right medial dysembryoplastic neuroepithelial tumour, one had a right anterior temporal cavernoma, one had right anterior temporal focal cortical dysplasia and one patient showed a right anterior temporal ganglioneuroma. All patients had normal contralateral medial temporal lobe structures on qualitative and quantitative MRI. Video-EEG confirmed ipsilateral seizure onset in all patients. All patients’ first language was English; handedness was determined using a standardized questionnaire (Oldfield, 1971). All patients underwent language and memory functional MRI and standard neuropsychological assessment preoperatively and again 4 months after ATLR. Language dominance was assessed using a range of functional MRI tasks (Bonelli et al., 2011) revealing left hemisphere dominance in 20 patients with left TLE and 13 patients with right TLE, and atypical (bilateral or right) language representation in six left and seven right patients with TLE. As described previously (Bonelli et al., 2010), we additionally calculated pre- and postoperative lateralization indices for the contrast ‘verbal fluency’ using the Bootstrap method of the SPM toolbox (Wilke and Lidzba, 2007) for each subject in the middle and inferior frontal gyrus, which were used as covariates for the second level analysis. Mean verbal IQ as measured using the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale–III was 91.62 (SD 13.4) in left TLE and 93.7 (SD 14.7) in right TLE. Mean performance IQ was 97.9 (SD 13.4) in left and 92.0 (SD 13.0) in right TLE. As memory may be affected by anxiety and depression, all patients in this study were tested for comorbid anxiety and depression preoperatively and again at the time of their postoperative assessment (4 months after ATLR) using the
Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) as a measure of self reported symptoms of anxiety and depression (Zigmond and Snaith, 1983).

The scale is a user-friendly, compact questionnaire comprising 14 items that assess current levels of anxiety and depression. The score is derived from responses on a four-point Likert-type scale. A score of 7 or above is considered positive. A clinically significant change was defined by a change in category; the different categories are defined as follows: normal (0–6), mild (7–10), moderate (11–13), severe (14 and above).

Preoperatively (data missing in two cases), there was no significant difference in anxiety scores between left and right TLE patients, but a significant difference in depression scores with patients with left TLE showing higher scores (anxiety median: left TLE, 7; range, 1–18; right TLE, 7.5; range, 4–15; depression median: left TLE, 5.5; range, 0–10; right TLE, 4.5; range, 0–15; P = 0.03). In right TLE, scores were within the pathological range in 11 patients for anxiety (eight mild, two moderate, one severe), and in two patients for depression (one mild, one moderate); in left TLE, scores were considered as positive in 13 patients for anxiety (five mild, five moderate, three severe) and eight patients for depression (eight mild).

Postoperatively, there was no significant difference in anxiety and depression scores between left and right TLE patients (anxiety median: left TLE, 6; range, 0–19; right TLE, 6.5; range, 0–16; depression median: left TLE, 3; range, 0–15; right TLE, 3; range, 0–13). In right TLE, postoperative scores were within the pathological range in 10 patients for anxiety (five mild, one moderate, four severe) and in five patients for depression (four mild, one severe); in left TLE, scores were considered as positive in 10 patients for anxiety (five mild, two moderate, three severe) and six patients for depression (five mild, one severe).

In right TLE there was no significant difference between pre- and postoperative anxiety or depression scores; in left TLE depression scores improved significantly after left ATLr compared to preoperatively (paired t-test; P = 0.0023), whereas there was no significant difference between pre- and postoperative anxiety scores.

There were no statistically significant correlations between pre- and postoperative anxiety or depression ratings and pre- and postoperative performance on verbal and visual memory tests (verbal learning and design learning) in left or right TLE patients and therefore pre- and postoperative anxiety and depression scores were not considered a factor in performance of verbal and visual memory tests in our patients and therefore not included as additional covariates.

All patients were treated with anti-epileptic medication, which mostly remained unchanged at the time of their postoperative assessment. The standard neurosurgical procedure was removal of the temporal pole, opening of the temporal horn, followed by en bloc resection of the hippocampus with a posterior resection margin at the mid brainstem level. Postoperative seizure outcome was classified according to the International League Against Epilepsy classification (Wieser et al., 2001) showing a seizure outcome grade 1 or 2 in 21 patients with left and 14 with right TLE and a seizure outcome grade 3 to 5 in five patients with left and six patients with right TLE. Seizure outcome is given at 1 year following surgery for all subjects.

The study was approved by the National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery and the Institute of Neurology Joint Research Ethics Committee, and written informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

Neuropsychological tests

As described previously (Bonelli et al., 2010) two learning tests, one verbal and one visual, which have been demonstrated to be good indicators of postoperative memory decline/ performance (Baxendale et al., 2006) were selected from our standard memory tests and were repeated 4 months after left or right ATLr.

In brief, subjects are read a list of 15 words five times during the verbal learning task and on each presentation they recall as many words as possible with the total number of correct words expressed as a percentage. Similarly, for the design learning test, patients are presented with a design five times with recall being tested after each presentation. Again, percentage of correct responses over the five trials was used as the measure of performance.

Patients completed neuropsychological tests before and 4 months after ATLr. Measures of change in verbal and design learning follow- ing surgery were calculated as postoperative – preoperative scores. Changes in scores and postoperative scores alone were correlated with pre- versus postoperative change in/ postoperative functional MRI activation patterns for left and right TLE patients. At the second level of analysis, patients were additionally divided into groups who suffered a clinically significant decline in verbal or visual memory using reliable change indices (Baxendale and Thompson, 2005). These were defined as a change of 16% for verbal learning and 28% change for design learning (90% confidence interval).

Magnetic resonance data acquisition

MRI data acquisition was the same for all pre- and postoperative MRI studies, which were performed on a 3 T General Electric Excite HDs scanner. Standard imaging gradients with a maximum strength of 40 m/Tm and slew rate 150 Tm/s were used. All data were acquired using an 8-channel array head coil for reception and the body coil for transmission. In addition to the functional MRI data, we acquired a high resolution echo planar image covering the whole brain with the following parameters for each subject: two shots, echo time 30 ms, repetition time 4500 ms, matrix 256 × 256, 88 contiguous 1.5 mm slices; the geometric distortions were matched by introducing an additional delay to increase the echo spacing (Boulby et al., 2005).

For the functional MRI task, gradient-echo planar T2*-weighted images were acquired, providing blood oxygenation level-dependent contrast. Each volume comprised 44 contiguous 1.5 mm oblique axial slices through the temporal and frontal lobes, with a 24 cm field of view, 128 × 128 matrix and in-plane resolution of 1.88 × 1.88 mm. Echo time was 30 ms and repetition time 4.5 s. The field of view was positioned to cover the temporal lobe with the anterior–posterior axis aligned with the long axis of the hippocampus on sagittal views, and with the body of the hippocampus in the centre.

Memory functional magnetic resonance imaging paradigm and data analysis

Memory paradigm

In this postoperative follow-up study we applied the same memory paradigm as preoperatively (Bonelli et al., 2010) using a parallel set of stimuli containing three different material types (Pictures (P), black and white nameable line drawn objects; Words (W), single concrete nouns; and Faces (F), partly black and white, partly coloured photographs unfamiliar to the subjects) in order to investigate postoperative verbal and visual memory encoding. In brief, a total of 210 stimuli were visually presented to the subjects during a single scanning session, one every 4 s in seven cycles. Each cycle consisted of a block of 10 pictures, 10 words and 10 faces (each lasting 40 s) followed by 20 s of crosshair fixation as a resting period. Subjects performed a deep encoding task which involved making a judgement on whether a
stimulus was pleasant or unpleasant in order to encourage stimulus encoding, but the response type was not used in any subsequent parts of the functional MRI analysis. Sixty minutes after scanning subjects performed a recognition test outside the scanner comprising three blocks, one for each of the three material types. During the recognition test the 70 stimuli for each material type were randomly mixed with additional 35 foils each and presented in a manner identical to that used during scanning. Subjects were instructed to indicate whether they could remember seeing each stimulus during scanning (R response) or whether it was new (N response).

The 210 encoding stimuli that had been presented during scanning were then classified according to the responses made during the recognition test. A correct (R) response indicated the stimulus was subsequently remembered while an incorrect (N) response indicated the stimulus was subsequently forgotten. For each of the three stimulus types (P, W, F), R and N responses were identified, giving a total of six event types: PR, PF, WR, WF, FR and FF. These were then entered as regressors in the design matrix.

Data analysis
Imaging data were analysed using Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM5) (Friston et al., 1995) (Wellcome Trust Centre for Imaging Neuroscience; http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm). The postoperative imaging time series of each subject was realigned using the mean image as a reference. Rigid body coregistration was used to coregister postoperative scans to the preoperative mean image; scans were then spatially normalized into standard space applying each subject’s preoperative spatial normalization parameters to the subject’s postoperative realigned and coregistered scans. Preoperatively, a scanner specific template created from 30 healthy control subjects, 15 patients with left and 15 patients with right hippocampal sclerosis was used for normalization. All scans were then smoothed with a Gaussian kernel of 10 mm full-width at half-maximum. Coregistration of postoperative scans was checked visually for each subject, three patients with unsatisfactory coregistration were excluded from further analysis.

Event-related analysis
To test for subsequent memory effects, an event-related analysis was used to compare encoding-related responses to individual stimuli that were subsequently remembered versus stimuli that were forgotten (Friston et al., 1998; Mechelli et al., 2003; Richardson et al., 2004; Powell et al., 2005; Seghier et al., 2012). A two-level event-related random-effect analysis was employed. At the first level, for each subject trial-specific responses were modelled by convolving a delta function that indicated each event onset with the canonical haemodynamic response function to create regressors of interest, one for each of the six event types (PR, PF, WR, WF, FR and FF). Each subject’s movement parameters were included as confounds and parameter estimates pertaining to the height of the haemodynamic response function for each regressor of interest were calculated for each voxel. Three contrast images were created for each subject corresponding to the subsequent memory effect for each material type (picture encoding defined by PR-PF, word encoding defined by WR-WF and face encoding defined by FR-FF). Recognition accuracy for each event type was calculated in all our patients as follows: Stimuli seen in the recognition test were classified as ‘hits’ (stimuli correctly remembered) and ‘false alarms’ (foils incorrectly tagged as remembered). Recognition accuracy was then calculated for each stimulus type as: hit rate minus false alarm rate. All subjects with rates of <20% or >80% for the two possible responses ‘remembered’ and ‘forgotten’ were not included in this study as there were not enough responses in the different categories to ensure sufficient contrast. These contrast images were used for the second-level analysis.

At the second level of the random effects analysis, subjects were divided into two groups, patients with left and right TLE. Each subject’s contrast images were entered into a second level one sample t-test, which modelled the group effect (left and right TLE patients, pre- and postoperatively) on the various contrasts. In order to test for correlations between areas of postoperative functional MRI activation and subjects’ performance on postoperative verbal learning and design learning, simple and multiple regression analyses were performed over the whole brain. For each subject verbal learning and design learning scores were entered as covariates separately for patients with left TLE and patients with right TLE. Encoding pictures usually gave more bilateral activations and was not considered for further postoperative correlational analyses. The language lateralization index derived from postoperative language functional MRI was used as an additional covariate.

Difference image analysis
In order to investigate the relationship between pre- and postoperative change in memory functional MRI activation and change in scores for verbal and visual memory from before to 4 months after ATLR, we created ‘difference images’ by subtracting the coregistered, normalized postoperative contrast images from the original preoperative contrast images and vice versa. The created images represent activation changes for each contrast, highlighting areas of greater/lesser pre- than postoperative activation for the contrasts ‘word and face encoding’ over the whole brain. At the second level of the random effects analysis, we looked for brain regions showing correlations between greater/lesser pre- than postoperative or post- than preoperative activation and change (postoperative minus preoperative) scores for verbal and visual memory after ATLR.

Second level of analysis
At the second level we investigated: (i) effects of ATLR on the functional anatomy of verbal and visual memory encoding by comparing pre- versus postoperative main effects in patients with left and patients with right TLE; and (ii) efficiency of reorganization of postoperative verbal and visual memory functions by correlating postoperative activations on encoding words and faces with postoperative verbal learning and design learning scores in patients with left and right TLE.

Unless otherwise stated we report all medial temporal lobe activations at a threshold of P < 0.01, corrected for multiple comparisons (family-wise error (FWE) in a small volume of interest). In view of our a priori hypothesis we performed the small volume correction using a sphere of 10 mm diameter for the left and right hippocampi based on the group peak activation.

Results
Memory test
Verbal learning
The left TLE group had a significant reduction in verbal learning scores postoperatively (mean = 44.8, SD = 16.4) compared with preoperatively (mean = 56.4, SD = 10.3; P < 0.0001). No significant changes for verbal learning were observed for the right TLE group.
Design learning
There was no significant difference between pre- and postoperative design learning scores in patients with right TLE or patients with left TLE.

Postoperative memory change
Twenty-two of 26 patients with left TLE had a decline in verbal learning scores after left ATLR, in seven this was classified as clinically significant; 3 of 26 patients had a postoperative improvement in verbal learning, one patient’s score remained unchanged; the mean change between pre- and postoperative verbal learning scores was −9, ranging from −48 to +10.

Ten of 20 patients with right TLE showed a decline in design learning scores after right ATLR, in one patient this was classified as clinically significant; 10 of 20 patients’ design learning scores improved; the mean change between pre- and postoperative design learning scores was +0.5, ranging from −38 to +26.

Hippocampal volumes
Preoperatively, left and right hippocampal volumes were significantly different in both left and right TLE patients. Left TLE group: mean (SD) right hippocampal volume was 2.82 (0.28) cm³, mean left hippocampal volume 1.93 (0.63) cm³ (paired t-test \( P = 0.0001 \), 2-tailed). Right TLE group: mean (SD) right hippocampal volume was 1.77 (0.44) cm³, mean left hippocampal volume 2.53 (0.28) cm³ (paired t-test \( P = 0.0001 \), two-tailed). There was no significant difference between left hippocampal volume in the left TLE group and right hippocampal volume in the right TLE group.

Postoperatively there was no significant difference between postoperative volumes of the residual left or right hippocampi. Left TLE group: mean (SD) residual of left hippocampus 0.57 (0.56), range 0.07–2.9 cm³. Right TLE group: mean (SD) residual of right hippocampus was 0.62 (0.71), range 0.12–2.64 cm³. In three left and two right patients with TLE it was not possible to measure postoperative hippocampal volumes because of technical problems or because remnants were too small.

Functional magnetic resonance imaging results: verbal and visual memory
In patients with left TLE the mean recognition rates for ‘pictures’ were 0.7 preoperatively and 0.6 postoperatively, for ‘words’, 0.5 preoperatively and 0.45 postoperatively, and 0.3 for ‘faces’ pre- and postoperatively. There was no significant difference between pre- and postoperative recognition rates for ‘pictures’ and ‘faces’. Words were less well remembered postoperatively in the left TLE group (paired t-test: \( P = 0.02 \)).

In patients with right TLE the mean recognition rates were 0.7 for ‘pictures’ pre- and postoperatively, 0.5 for ‘words’ pre- and postoperatively and 0.2 for ‘faces’ preoperatively and 0.1 for ‘faces’ postoperatively. There was no significant difference between pre- and postoperative recognition accuracy for ‘pictures’ or ‘words’ but a trend for ‘faces’ to be less well remembered in patients with right TLE postoperatively (paired t-test: \( P = 0.07 \)).

Main effects for encoding pictures, words and faces
Preoperatively, in the left TLE group there was weak right hippocampal activation for encoding faces (\( P = 0.07 \), FWE corrected in small volume of interest) but no significant hippocampal activation for encoding pictures or words.

In right TLE, there was significant left hippocampal activation for encoding words (\( P = 0.029 \), FWE corrected in small volume of interest), but no significant activation for encoding pictures or faces.

Postoperatively, patients with left TLE had significant left posterior hippocampal activation for encoding words (\( P = 0.001 \), FWE corrected in small volume of interest) and significant right (\( P = 0.033 \), FWE corrected in small volume of interest) hippocampal activation for encoding faces at the group level (Fig. 1).

In right TLE, there was weak left hippocampal activation for encoding words (\( P = 0.073 \), FWE corrected in small volume of interest) postoperatively, and no effect was seen for encoding pictures or faces (Table 1).

Comparison of pre- and postoperative main effects for encoding words and faces: difference – image analysis
We determined significant activation changes following ATLR using difference images representing greater pre- than postoperative activation and vice versa for the single subjects for the contrasts of interests (word encoding for left TLE, face encoding for right TLE) (Table 2).

 Patients with left TLE showed significantly greater postoperative than preoperative activation in the posterior remnant of the left hippocampus for encoding words (\( P = 0.042 \), FWE corrected in small volume of interest), while no areas showed greater pre- than postoperative activation (Fig. 2 and Supplementary Fig. 1). There was no significant main effect (either greater pre- than postoperative activation or vice versa) for face encoding in right TLE.

Efficiency of preoperative reorganization of verbal and visual memory
One aim of this postoperative follow-up study was to test the functional integrity of the ipsilateral posterior hippocampus after ATLR.

We examined subgroups of patients with left TLE according to whether they suffered a clinically significant decline in verbal learning (\( n = 7 \)) or whether their postoperative verbal learning scores were improved/remained stable (\( n = 19 \)). A similar subgroup analysis was not possible in patients with right TLE as only one suffered a clinically significant decline in design learning scores.

Correlation between change in functional MRI activation for encoding words and faces (pre- minus postoperative) and change in verbal and visual memory scores
In order to evaluate in which areas of the brain, change in functional MRI activation for encoding words or faces from pre- to postoperative were correlated with change in memory scores, we performed a voxel by voxel analysis over the entire temporal lobe field of view (Table 3).
Table 1 Pre- and postoperative functional MRI activation peaks in the hippocampus for the main effects of encoding words and faces

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Functional MRI contrast</th>
<th>Z-score</th>
<th>Corrected P-value (family-wise error)</th>
<th>Coordinates (x, y, z) in MNI space</th>
<th>Hippocampal activation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Left TLE preoperative</td>
<td>Word encoding</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Face encoding</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Right</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left TLE postoperative</td>
<td>Word encoding</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>–32, –22, –12</td>
<td>Left posterior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Face encoding</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>34, –14, –22</td>
<td>Right</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right TLE preoperative</td>
<td>Word encoding</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>–34, –20, –22</td>
<td>Left</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Face encoding</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right TLE postoperative</td>
<td>Word encoding</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>–34, –12, –18</td>
<td>Left</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Face encoding</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MNI space, coordinates related to a standard brain defined by the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI); ns = not significant.

Figure 1 Postoperative group results in patients with left TLE. Postoperative main effects for: word encoding, left posterior hippocampal activation; face encoding, left and right hippocampal activation. Yellow line indicates the estimated hippocampal resection margin for the left TLE group (threshold P < 0.01, uncorrected). Significant regions are superimposed onto averaged normalized mean echo planar images from all patients who underwent left ATL.

Table 2 Difference image analysis: main effects of greater pre- than postoperative and greater post- than preoperative memory functional MRI activation for encoding words and faces

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Change in functional MRI contrast</th>
<th>Z-score</th>
<th>Corrected P-value (family-wise error)</th>
<th>Coordinates (x, y, z) in MNI space</th>
<th>Hippocampal activation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Left TLE</td>
<td>Word encoding (pre &gt; postoperative)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left TLE</td>
<td>Word encoding (post &gt; preoperative)</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>–34, –24, –12</td>
<td>Left posterior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right TLE</td>
<td>Face encoding (pre &gt; postoperative)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right TLE</td>
<td>Face encoding (post &gt; preoperative)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MNI space, coordinates related to a standard brain defined by the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI); ns = not significant.
In patients with left TLE there was a significant positive correlation in the left posterior hippocampus ($P = 0.05$ corrected in small volume of interest), characterized by greater pre- than postoperative activation for encoding words in this area being correlated with better postoperative verbal memory (Fig. 3). Subgroup analysis showed that this result was mainly driven by patients with no significant verbal memory decline: patients with left TLE with stable or improved verbal memory test scores had a significant positive correlation between change in functional MRI activation for word encoding and change in verbal learning scores in the left posterior hippocampus ($P = 0.002$ corrected in small volume of interest), characterized by greater pre- than postoperative activation being associated with better postoperative verbal memory outcome. No correlation was observed for patients with a clinically significant memory decline.

In patients with right TLE there was no significant correlation between change in functional MRI activation for encoding faces and change in visual memory scores.

Efficiency of postoperative reorganization of verbal and visual memory

Data for the correlation between postoperative functional MRI activation for word or face encoding and postoperative neuropsychological performance is presented in Table 4.

In the left TLE group, there was a significant negative correlation between postoperative functional MRI activation for encoding words and postoperative verbal learning scores ($P = 0.014$, FWE corrected in small volume of interest) in the left posterior hippocampus. This was characterized by greater postoperative functional MRI activation being associated with worse postoperative verbal memory performance. Subgroup analysis showed that this negative correlation in the left posterior hippocampus ($P = 0.001$) was driven by patients with left TLE with no clinically significant decline and this negative correlation was also seen in the right hippocampus ($P < 0.001$) and the right superior temporal gyrus ($P = 0.03$, all FWE corrected in SVI). There was no significant correlation for left TLE with clinically significant decline.

### Table 3: Association of change of memory functional MRI activation for encoding words and faces (preoperative minus postoperative) with change of verbal learning/ design learning scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Change in functional MRI contrast – change in neuropsychology task</th>
<th>Z-score</th>
<th>Corrected P-value (family-wise error)</th>
<th>Coordinates (x, y, z) in MNI space</th>
<th>Hippocampal activation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Left TLE</td>
<td>Word encoding – VL</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>−30, −28, −12</td>
<td>left posterior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left TLE</td>
<td>Word encoding – 1/VL</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left TLE with decline</td>
<td>Word encoding – VL</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left TLE without decline</td>
<td>Word encoding – VL</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>−30, −20, −16</td>
<td>left posterior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left TLE without decline</td>
<td>Word encoding – 1/VL</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left TLE</td>
<td>Face encoding – DL</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right TLE</td>
<td>Face encoding – DL</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right TLE</td>
<td>Word encoding – VL</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right TLE</td>
<td>Word encoding – 1/VL</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DL = design learning; MNI space, coordinates related to a standard brain defined by the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI); ns = not significant; VL = verbal learning.

Figure 2: Comparison of post- and preoperative functional MRI activation for verbal memory function in left TLE. Main effects: greater postoperative than preoperative activation for encoding words in the left posterior medial temporal lobe four months after left ATL. Yellow line indicates the estimated hippocampal resection margin for the left TLE group. Threshold $P < 0.01$, uncorrected. Significant regions are superimposed onto an averaged normalized mean echo planar image from all patients who underwent left ATL.
In the right TLE group there was a negative correlation in the right posterior hippocampus ($Z = 2.42$, $P = 0.047$, FWE corrected in small volume of interest, TH $P < 0.05$), characterized by greater postoperative functional MRI activation for face encoding being correlated with worse postoperative design learning scores.

Data for the correlation between change in functional MRI activation for word or face encoding (postoperative minus preoperative) and postoperative neuropsychological performance is shown in Table 5.

To further characterize these results and to investigate the efficiency of early postoperative reorganization/compensation we correlated postoperative minus preoperative functional MRI activations with postoperative memory scores.

In patients with left TLE we found a significant negative correlation with postoperative verbal learning scores in the left posterior hippocampus ($P = 0.02$), characterized by greater post- than preoperative functional MRI activation in this area being correlated with worse verbal memory performance (Fig. 4).

There was no significant correlation between postoperative volumes of the residual hippocampi and postoperative memory scores.

### Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Functional MRI contrast – neuropsychology task</th>
<th>Z-score</th>
<th>Corrected P-value (family-wise error)</th>
<th>Coordinates ($x, y, z$) in MNI space</th>
<th>Hippocampal activation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Left TLE</td>
<td>Word encoding – VL</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left TLE</td>
<td>Word encoding – 1/VL</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>– 30, –30, –14</td>
<td>Left posterior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left TLE with decline</td>
<td>Word encoding – VL</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left TLE with decline</td>
<td>Word encoding – 1/VL</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left TLE without decline</td>
<td>Word encoding – VL</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left TLE without decline</td>
<td>Word encoding – 1/VL</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>– 26, –30, –14</td>
<td>Left posterior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left TLE without decline</td>
<td>Word encoding – 1/VL</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>$P &lt; 0.001$</td>
<td>32, 2, –32</td>
<td>Right</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right TLE</td>
<td>Face encoding – DL</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right TLE</td>
<td>Face encoding – 1/DL</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DL = design learning; ns = not significant; MNI space, coordinates related to a standard brain defined by the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI); VL = verbal learning.

### Discussion

This longitudinal functional MRI study examined how material specific memory function is reorganized in patients with TLE after left or right anterior temporal lobe resection and explored the effectiveness of these postoperative memory networks. In our previous preoperative study we demonstrated that preoperative ipsilateral activation within the anterior medial temporal lobe predicted greater verbal and visual memory decline whereas greater ipsilateral posterior medial temporal lobe activation was predictive of better verbal and visual memory outcome after ATL (Bonelli et al., 2010). The current postoperative follow-up study was concordant with these findings as greater pre- than postoperative activation within the ipsilateral posterior hippocampus correlated with better verbal memory outcome in patients with left TLE. In contrast, early postoperative reorganization within the ipsilateral hippocampus or to homologous areas in the contralateral hemisphere was not efficient. Only one patient with right TLE suffered a clinically significant decline in visual memory. Therefore it was not surprising that we found a similar, albeit weaker activation pattern for visual memory in patients with right TLE.
Postoperative memory processing

Previous neuropsychological and functional MRI studies have shown that left and right TLE patients may have impaired memory function, which is usually material-specific, due to the underlying pathology and ongoing disease (Helmstaedter et al., 1997, 2003; Gleissner et al., 1998; Golby et al., 2002; Powell et al., 2005). More recently this model of material-specificity has been challenged by several post-resection studies (Saling, 2009; Helmstaedter et al., 2011b). Memory impairment can be worsened by anterior temporal lobe resection (Gleissner et al., 2002, 2004; Helmstaedter et al., 2003) and there is evidence that postoperative verbal memory processing in left TLE is affected differently by temporal lobe surgery than visual memory processing in right TLE. This was also true in the current study in which preoperatively both left and right TLE patients showed impairment in verbal and visual memory function that was correlated to left/right medial temporal lobe memory functional MRI activation. Postoperatively, only one patient with right TLE suffered a clinically significant decline in visual memory compared with seven patients with left TLE with significant verbal memory decline after left ATLR. This finding parallels several previous studies suggesting a differential effect of temporal lobe resection on verbal and visual memory function (Lee et al., 2002; Helmstaedter et al., 2008) in left and right TLE patients (Wong et al., 2009) with verbal memory being more vulnerable (Cheung et al., 2009). Previous studies also suggest that visual-spatial memory is a more bilateral task than verbal memory and for

Table 5: Association of change in memory functional MRI activation (postoperative minus preoperative) for words and faces with postoperative verbal learning and design learning scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Change in functional MRI contrast – postoperative neuropsychology task</th>
<th>Z-score</th>
<th>Corrected P-value (family-wise error)</th>
<th>Coordinates (x,y,z) in MNI space</th>
<th>Hippocampal activation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Left TLE</td>
<td>Encoding words – VL</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left TLE</td>
<td>Encoding words – 1/VL</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>– 32, – 32, – 12</td>
<td>Left posterior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right TLE</td>
<td>Face encoding – DL</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Face encoding – 1/DL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DL = design learning; ns = not significant; MNI space, coordinates related to a standard brain defined by the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI); VL = verbal learning.
which verbalization may play a significant role (van Asselen et al., 2006). This would be compatible with our results in right TLE.

Many functional MRI studies focused on the evaluation of preoperative memory processing and the prediction of postoperative memory decline (Rabin et al., 2004; Richardson et al., 2004; Janszky et al., 2005; Binder et al., 2008; Powell et al., 2008) as did our previous preoperative study, which demonstrated that stronger ipsilateral memory encoding activation within the anterior medial temporal lobe was associated with greater postoperative verbal or visual memory decline, whereas greater ipsilateral posterior functional MRI activation in the medial temporal lobe before surgery was predictive of better verbal and visual memory outcome (Bonelli et al., 2010). The underlying mechanism of postoperative reorganization and processing of memory function is poorly understood. Apart from a few case studies there is only one functional MRI study in which patients with TLE were systematically followed up 1 year after surgery (Cheung et al., 2009). In this study a complex visual scene-encoding task was used to show that postoperative memory performance at 12 months after surgery was significantly associated with functional activation contralateral to the site of resection and therefore suggested that memory function in the contralateral medial temporal lobe might be necessary for supporting memory after ATLR. This study, however, was limited by including only nine patients with left and eight patients with right TLE, and heterogeneous pathologies. In contrast, we used a material-specific memory encoding paradigm for words and faces in a large and homogenous group of patients with medial TLE and showed that postoperative verbal memory function was still clearly lateralized with the main activation in the posterior remnant of the left medial temporal lobe, which is usually spared during ATLR. Comparison with preoperative data showed that postoperatively there was no significant reduction in posterior medial temporal lobe activation for word or face encoding in left or right TLE patients, but a significant increase in activation for word encoding in the posterior remnant of the left medial temporal lobe.

Postoperative correlational analysis showed a significant but negative correlation between this activation and postoperative verbal learning scores in patients with left TLE, who did not have a significant postoperative verbal memory decline, characterized by greater postoperative activation being associated with worse performance on neuropsychological tests. We believe that the absence of a significant correlation between ipsilateral posterior medial temporal lobe activation and postoperative memory performance in patients with left TLE with clinically significant decline is because patients without decline have already reorganized memory function to their ipsilateral posterior medial temporal lobe over years before surgery, which protects them from declining significantly after ATLR, whereas patients with significant verbal memory decline had failed to effectively reorganize memory function to the posterior medial temporal lobe before surgery, but showed stronger activation in the anterior part of the ipsilateral medial temporal lobe, which was shown to be predictive of greater postoperative decline (Bonelli et al., 2010). At an early postoperative stage, patients with left TLE without decline activated this posterior part of the hippocampal remnant more strongly (probably as a compensatory response) but this additional activation did not result in any further memory improvement. Those who declined, on the other hand, still did not show any activation in this part of the hippocampal remnant.

We interpret this result as reflecting an inefficient additional compensatory response within structures of the ipsi- and partly contralateral medial temporal lobe involved in postoperative memory processing in the early postoperative phase. These findings are consistent with the suggestion that preoperative reorganization within the ipsilateral medial temporal lobe and engagement of the posterior hippocampus in memory encoding before surgery is efficient and helps to maintain verbal memory postoperatively, whereas early postoperative reorganization is an inefficient process.

As for the patients with right TLE, there is evidence that memory function is affected differently in left and right TLE patients (Lee et al., 2002; Helmstaedter et al., 2008). There is an ongoing discussion regarding possible reasons for this: from a clinical perspective verbal memory seems much more vulnerable to surgery compared with visual memory, which was also the case in our patient sample with seven patients showing a clinically significant verbal memory decline, whereas only one patient had a significant visual memory decline.

Another reason might be that visual memory is less material-specific than verbal memory as patients tend to use verbal strategies in order to memorize visual (spatial) material. Finally, the weaker correlation in our patients with right TLE might also be due to the smaller sample size of 20 patients.

**Neurobiological and clinical implications**

Two models have been discussed to explain memory deficits after ATLR: the hippocampal reserve theory suggests that it is the capacity of the contralateral hippocampus which preserves postoperative memory function whereas the functional adequacy model suggests the functional reserve of the ipsilateral hippocampus as the key structure to support memory function after surgery (Chelune et al., 1991). In their pre- and postoperative follow-up study, Cheung et al. (2009) provided support for the hippocampal reserve theory by suggesting that it was the function of the contralateral medial temporal lobe that supports ipsilateral memory function after surgery.

The present study supports the functional adequacy model, in concordance with other neuropsychological and functional imaging studies, in particular for verbal memory (Baxendale et al., 2000; Bonelli et al., 2010; Helmstaedter et al., 2011a, b). By directly comparing pre- and postoperative functional MRI data sets we demonstrated that functional reorganization to the ipsilateral posterior medial temporal lobe earlier in the epileptic history, before surgery, and to structures spared during ATLR was essential for better postoperative verbal memory outcome.

More selective compared to extended temporal resections do not necessarily result in poor seizure outcome and may carry less risk of clinically significant deterioration of memory (Morino et al., 2006; Alpherts et al., 2008; Helmstaedter et al., 2008). Recently Helmstaedter et al. (2011a) confirmed the negative
impact of the resection of non-lesional functional tissue for cognitive surgical outcome in a cohort of MRI and histopathologically negative patients with TLE whereas selective versus extended surgery appeared to result in similar seizure outcomes. The same authors postulated that neither medial resection length nor resected hippocampal volumes influenced postoperative seizure outcome whereas resection of larger hippocampal volumes resulted in poorer verbal memory outcome in patients with TLE who underwent selective amygdalo-hippocampectomy (Helmstaedter et al., 2011b).

Our finding that functional tissue within the to be resected area is crucial to preserve verbal and visual memory encoding function after ATLR emphasizes the need to re-evaluate the role of more restricted hippocampal surgery to minimize clinically relevant memory impairment in patients with TLE. We infer that the posterior hippocampus has an important role in the maintenance of verbal memory, and that tailored hippocampal resection, taking this into account, with the extent of hippocampal sclerosis, may optimize seizure and memory outcomes.

Methodology and future work

This study has the advantage of comparing memory functional MRI data and neuropsychological assessment before and 4 months after left or right ATLR in a large and homogenous cohort of patients with TLE. Language dominance, which is in turn affected by age of disease onset, may influence results of material specific memory lateralization (Griffin and Tranel, 2007). A language lateralization index as assessed by language functional MRI pre- and postoperatively was used as a covariate to control for this possibility. This allowed us to explicitly study the effects of ATLR with respect to pre- and postoperative reorganization of material specific memory function.

There are several strengths and limitations regarding methodology such as the advantages and disadvantages of using an event-related design, as well as the usual technical difficulties in the temporal lobes such as signal dropout and low resolution. Imaging parameters were optimized for capturing activation within the temporal lobes and adjacent structures (Bonelli et al., 2010). More work is needed to optimize these parameters including field of view so that activation in other areas of the brain than the medial temporal lobe such as the orbito-frontal cortex, can be identified, to be able to comment on possible compensatory mechanisms. Furthermore, our results may be influenced by the effect of volume averaging on the extent and magnitude of hippocampal (remnant) signal, given that (i) most of the patients had hippocampal sclerosis; and (ii) all patients underwent left or right ATLR.

Postoperatively, memory was reassessed at an early postoperative stage of 4 months after ATLR. Four months might be too early for compensatory responses (such as in the contralateral medial temporal lobe) to become fully functional, which could account for our divergence from Cheung et al.’s (2009) results. Memory function (decline and recovery) has been found to stabilize within 2 years after surgery (Alpherts et al., 2006). We are now carrying out further longitudinal follow-up studies of memory organization at 3 months and 12 months after surgery to further investigate how this activation changes over a longer period of time in patients with TLE, having temporal lobe resection, and with a healthy control group also studied longitudinally, which will give us important further insights into postoperative recovery and plasticity.

In conclusion, engagement of the posterior left medial temporal lobe in verbal memory encoding before surgery was efficient and preserved verbal memory function after surgery, whereas early postoperative reorganization within the ipsilateral or to the contra-lateral medial temporal lobe was inefficient. Our results strengthen the view that functional tissue needs to be preserved by more selective and restricted surgical approaches with the ultimate goal of achieving maximum seizure control at a minimum of cognitive costs.
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