A novel disorder reveals clathrin heavy chain-22 is essential for human pain and touch development
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Congenital inability to feel pain is very rare but the identification of causative genes has yielded significant insights into pain pathways and also novel targets for pain treatment. We report a novel recessive disorder characterized by congenital insensitivity to pain, inability to feel touch, and cognitive delay. Affected individuals harboured a homozygous missense mutation in CLTCL1 encoding the CHC22 clathrin heavy chain, p.E330K, which we demonstrate to have a functional effect on the protein. We found that CLTCL1 is significantly upregulated in the developing human brain, displaying an expression pattern suggestive of an early neurodevelopmental role. Guided by the disease phenotype, we investigated the role of CHC22 in two human neural crest differentiation systems; human induced pluripotent stem cell-derived nociceptors and TRKB-dependant SH-SY5Y cells. In both there was a significant downregulation of CHC22 upon the onset of neural differentiation. Furthermore, knockdown of CHC22 induced neurite outgrowth in neural precursor cells, which was rescued by stable overexpression of small interfering RNA-resistant CHC22, but not by mutant CHC22. Similarly, overexpression of wild-type, but not mutant, CHC22 blocked neurite outgrowth in cells treated with retinoic acid. These results reveal an essential and non-redundant role for CHC22 in neural crest development and in the genesis of pain and touch sensing neurons.
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Introduction

Pain and touch are amongst the ubiquitous senses of complex multi-cellular organisms. For sight and hearing, mutations in <200 human genes are known to cause congenital blindness or deafness, respectively (Dror and Avraham, 2009; Daiger et al., 2013). Study of these genes has substantially contributed to our understanding of development of these sensing systems. Far fewer genes have been discovered that cause a loss of pain sensing (Cox et al., 2006; Rothtier et al., 2012; Leipold et al., 2013). However, the discovery of such genes is leading to treatments for individuals suffering from excess and unwanted pain—not a situation analogous to other senses (Holmes, 2012).

Pain is a complex sense, essential for detecting or warning of impending tissue damage and capable of detecting noxious stimuli of diverse origins and forms. Specialist nociceptors are of neuronal origin, and are the primary detectors of such insults. Mendelian disorders of painlessness, defined by the discovery of pathogenic mutations, can be clinically classified as developmental (a failure of nociceptor differentiation), dysfunctional (unresponsive nociceptors), and degenerative (where nociceptors prematurely die) (Cox et al., 2006; Rothtier et al., 2012; Leipold et al., 2013). We have identified a novel Mendelian disorder characterized by a congenital insensitivity to pain, loss of touch sensation and severe cognitive delay, which clearly fits into the developmental category and hence defines a hitherto unrecognized human gene essential for pain perception and CNS development.

Materials and methods

Molecular genetics

Autozygosity mapping

Autozygosity mapping was undertaken using samples from the affected children as previously reported (Woods et al., 2006b). In brief, genomic DNA from each affected individual was hybridized to the Affymetrix GeneChip® Human Mapping 250K Nsp Array. Results of the alleles present at each SNP for each individual were generated using Affymetrix software. Regions of shared allele-concordant homozygosity were sought using ExcludeAR (Woods et al., 2004). We found five statistically significant shared allele-concordant homozygosity regions; chromosome 5:157–161 cM, chromosome 9:121–133 cM, chromosome 16:72–76 cM, chromosome 20:31–30 cM, and chromosome 22:17–26 cM. The finding of five shared homozygous regions encompassing 1.5% of the genome was within the expected result range given the consanguineous family structure (Woods et al., 2006a). Analysis of the exome sequencing results detailed in the next section confirmed all of the homozygous regions identified, as the majority of contained DNA changes (>90% averaged over the five regions) were homozygous.

Exome sequencing

Exome sequencing performed on one affected child was undertaken using the SureSelect Human All Exon 50 Mb Kit (Agilent Technologies UK, Cat. No G3370A), enabling targeted capture of 50 Mb sequence of exonic regions and non-coding RNAs in the human genome. Sequencing was performed with the SOLiD™4 System (Applied Biosystems) with 50 bp fragment reads, to generate 4.3 Gb of sequence achieving 83% of bases coverage by >10 reads (sufficient for the detection of homozygous mutations) of the mappable targeted 50 Mb exome. Initially, the raw sequencing reads were mapped to the GRCh37 reference human genome and changes compared to this reference sequence identified (Bochukova et al., 2012). We focused our analyses on non-synonymous coding, nonsense, splice site variants, and indels (insertions–deletions) involving exons. We filtered against: (i) known variations where the rare allele frequency was >1% (derived from dbSNP and 1000 Genomes); (ii) evolutionary conservation of the encoded amino acid, by use of the Human Genome Browser; and (iii) examination of the sequence reads containing potential mutations using the Integrated Genome Viewer (which shows misaligned, poorly sequenced and recurrent artefact changes). We did not filter on either the known function of recessive mutations in a variant-containing gene or on expression pattern. These filtering steps resulted in the reduction of 19 to only one potentially pathogenic variant in the concordant homozygous regions found in all affected children, c.988G>A in CLTCL1 (p.E330K in CHC22). No further mutations in CLTCL1 were found in a cohort of 39 painless patients of unknown genetic cause.

Segregation analysis and control subject analysis

PCRs were designed to cover the region of CLTCL1 containing the c.988G>A mutation, which was within exon 7. For this we used the Human Genome Browser and Primer3Web, and optimized the reaction in control human genomic DNA. Using genomic DNA samples from family members we found that the mutation was homozygous in all affected children, heterozygous in both parents, and heterozygous in the one unaffected sibling we could test. Using the same primers we analysed genomic DNA from a personally curated resource of 50 Arab and 130 Pakistani healthy adults. All were homozygous for the wild-type G allele of the mutation c.988G>A. The mutation was not present in the 1000 Genomes project. Details of websites and software used can be found in Appendix 1.

Cell lines and culture conditions

SH-SY5Y cells were purchased from Sigma Aldrich, and were cultured in 100% Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum, 100 U/ml penicillin-streptomycin (pen-strep) at 37°C and 5% CO2. HEK293 cells were maintained in 100% DMEM similarly supplemented. To achieve transient transfection of HEK293 cells, cells were plated into six-well plates at 2 × 10⁵ cells/well 24 h before transfection. Transfection was achieved using the TransIT™-LT1 transfection reagent (Mirusbio) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. After 72 h the cells were harvested or fixed for western blot analysis or immunofluorescence analysis, respectively (see below).
To create stably expressing clones, cells were transfected as described for transient transfection. After 48 h, the cells were trypsinized and transferred to a 100 mm dish. After a further 24 h, the media was changed for one containing 600 µg/ml G418 sulphate solution (Calbiochem). Once stably expressing colonies had formed, these were picked using cloning cylinders and successfully transfected clones determined by immunofluorescence and western blotting. Two successfully transfected CHC22.E330K clones were created, with all cells exhibiting a GFP signal by immunofluorescence and of the correct size determined by western blotting. However, wild-type clones proved harder to produce, with the best expressing clone (out of a further 20) being used for further experiments. This clone expressed comparable amounts of GFP per cell compared with the p.E330K expressing cells, but was not a pure population with a varying proportion of cells not expressing GFP (depending on passage number). These cells were routinely sorted for GFP-expressing cells before experimentation, but a totally pure population was not achieved. When these cells were subsequently analysed, only those cells expressing GFP were included in the analysis.

Transfection of siRNA was achieved using INTERFERin® in vitro small interfering RNA (siRNA) transfection reagent (Polyplus) using a reverse transfection according to the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, cells were plated on Day 0 at 1.5 x 10⁴ cells/ml onto a mixture of 15 nM siRNA diluted in 200 µl Opti-MEM® media and 12 µl INTERFERin® reagent. Media was replaced on Day 3 with more of the same siRNA mixture added to the cells as on Day 0. Cells were imaged and assayed on Day 5. The methods for differentiating pain neurons from human pluripotent stem cells have been described previously (Chambers et al., 2012).

Differentiation of SH-SY5Y cells has been described previously (Encinas et al., 2000). Briefly, cells were plated at 1.5 x 10⁴ cells/ml. The following day media was changed for that containing 10 µM retinoic acid (or equal volume DMSO as control) and left for 5 days. Media was changed to fresh media containing retinoic acid on the third day. Cells were assayed at Day 5 after significant neurite outgrowth had occurred, but cells remained mitotic.

DNA constructs, antibodies and RNA interference

Small interfering RNAs were purchased from Life Technologies or Qiagen. The siRNAs against CHC22 were GGCGCUCGAUGAGUACACU (siCHC22.1) and GAAGUUUGAUGACCAU (siCHC22.2), CHC17 were CGUGCUCCUUGUACGGAU (siCHC17.1) and GGGAUUCUUGCUACUCC (siCHC17.2) and scrambled was UUCGUACUCC (siCHC17.2) and GGGAAUUCUGUUUGAUGACAU (siCHC22.2). CHC17 were CGUGCUCCUUGUACGGAU (siCHC17.1) and GAAGAUGGUAAGGCAAUCGUGAACUUC (siCHC22.1) and GAAGAUGGUAAGGCAAUCGUGAACUUC (siCHC22.1) and GGGAAUUCUGUUUGAUGACAU (siCHC22.2). Small interfering RNAs were purchased from Life Technologies or Qiagen. The siRNAs against CHC22 were CGUGCUCCUUGUACGGAU (siCHC17.1) and GAAGAUGGUAAGGCAAUCGUGAACUUC (siCHC22.1) and GAAGAUGGUAAGGCAAUCGUGAACUUC (siCHC22.1) and GGGAAUUCUGUUUGAUGACAU (siCHC22.2). Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Agilent Technologies) was used for effective shRNA against CHC17 blocking transferrin internalization, constructs iii and iv were also transfected. On the third day after transfection, the cells were starved of serum for 35 min to deplete the cells of transferrin. The cells were then incubated with MEM containing 100 µg/ml of Alexa Fluor® 568-conjugated transferrin (Life Technologies) at 37°C for 10 min. Cells were then washed, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and mounted onto Prolong Gold antifade reagent with DAPI (Life Technologies). Cells were visualized with either a LSM510 or a LSM710 confocal microscope. For quantitative experiments, identical laser power and acquisition settings were used. For analysis of transferrin uptake, images were thresholded using ImageJ (NIH) software, the outline of each cell (using the green signal traced) around and the integrated density of the red signal (transferrin) calculated. Experiments testing the rescue of CHC17 depletion by either CHC22.WT or CHC22.E330K were carried out four independent times, with at least 136 and 153 cells counted for each condition, respectively. Experiments to confirm knockdown of CHC17 (using comparison of constructs iii and iv) caused significant reduction in transferrin uptake (previously confirmed by Hood and Royle, 2009; Willox and Royle, 2012) were carried out twice, with at least 58 cells counted for each condition.

Co-localization was measured by Pearson Co-localization Coefficient using Volocity Image analysis software.
Producing heat maps from developmental transcriptome

The raw log2 transformed expression values (reads per kilobase of exon model per million mapped reads) of CLTCL1 and CLTC extracted from various brain regions across development from embryo to mature adult brain, were downloaded from the ‘Brainspan Atlas of the Developing Human Brain’ (Website: ©2014 Allen Institute for Brain Science. BrainSpan Atlas of the Developing Human Brain [Internet]. Available from: http://brainspan.org/) (Miller et al., 2014). These values were imported into Microsoft Excel and ordered according to developmental stage (time points with insufficient data were excluded from analysis at this stage). Where there were multiple samples for each developmental stage, an average expression value was calculated. Then, for each respective brain region, the expression values were calculated as a proportion of the largest expression value for that region (largest value 1) and a heat map produced with darker red colour assigned to values closest to 1 and dark blue representing the lowest expression value for that brain region. Hence, the heat map represents a spread of the highest to lowest expression of each given gene across development for each brain region, but the different regions themselves are not directly comparable with each other, nor can the colours for CLTCL1 be compared directly to CLTC. The donor H376.VIII.53 for the 2-year time point was excluded from the analysis because for both CLTCL1 and CLTC it represented a significantly outlying result, likely to represent an unknown issue with the donor or sample preparation and not to represent a genuine transcriptional level of either gene at this time point.

Previous studies have shown that CLTCL1 is expressed at greatly increased amounts compared to CLTCL1, and so we sought to analyse the comparative amounts of the two genes throughout CNS development. The analysis described above allowed us to demonstrate the relative changes in the expression levels of the two genes over development, but not directly compare the two clathrins. To compare the levels of CLTCL1 to CLTC directly we calculated the percentage of overall clathrin heavy chain expression represented by CLTCL1 and CLTC, respectively. To achieve this, the log2 transformed expression values originally downloaded were reverse log transformed to comparative transcription values. The expression of CLTCL1 expression as a percentage of total clathrin levels was calculated for each time point (percentage of CLTCL1 expression compared to CLTCL1 + CLTC expression), and the data split into either pre- or postnatal. The pre- and postnatal groups were then compared using Students t-test.

Neurite outgrowth assays

SH-SY5Y cells were transfected with siRNA, as described above, and left for 5 days (change of media and second hit of siRNA added on Day 3). This time point was selected because a clear distinction in cell phenotype was apparent (Days 4 and 6 were also tested with comparable results), and knockdown was optimal. For each experiment, at least three fields of view were imaged for phase contrast images using an EVOS FLCell Imaging System and for phase contrast plus green signal for stably expressing cells. The images were blinded and neurite outgrowth measured using ImageJ software. For ‘neurite length per cell’ measurements, all neurites, however small, were measured and the total neurite length of all cells combined, divided by the number of cells in that field of view. For ‘percentage of cells bearing neurites’, the same data were analysed, but the number of ‘neurites’ taken as only neurites longer than twice the average size of a SH-SY5Y cell body at that time point.

Glutathione S-transferase purification

Bovine clathrin heavy chain terminal domain plasmid (residues 1-363) has been described previously (Miele et al., 2004). The p.E330K mutation was introduced into the sequence using QuickChange® II Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Agilent Technologies). Both wild-type and mutant plasmids were prepared as previously described to produce protein with an N-terminal GST (glutathione S-transferase) tag (Drake and Traub, 2001). Briefly, the GST fusion proteins were produced in Escherichia coli BL21 DE3 plysS cells. Log-phase cultures (A600 ~0.8) growing at 37°C were placed at 22°C in a shaking incubator and induced to produce protein by addition of isopropyl-1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside (IPTG) to a final concentration of 100μM, and left overnight. The bacteria were then recovered by centrifugation at 4500 rpm at 4°C for 15 min and the cell pellets frozen at −80°C. GST fusions were collected on glutathione Sepharose 4B after lysis of the bacteria, and cleaved from the GST with thrombin. After extensive washing, lysates were eluted from the beads and the thrombin inactivated with ABESF [4-(2-aminoethyl) benzenesulphonyl fluoride hydrochloride]. Proteins were concentrated to ~10mg/ml and purified by gel filtration on an analytical S200 column (GE healthcare) in 200mM NaCl, 10mM HEPES pH 7.5, 1mM dithiothreitol. Samples for circular dichroism at 0.5mg/ml were dialysed into 50mM Na phosphate pH 7.5.

Protein extraction

Cells were grown in six-well plates, washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and scraped in RIPA buffer (Tris, pH 7.4, NaCl 150 mM, EDTA, 0.5 mM, 1% Triton), containing protease inhibitors (Roche Applied Sciences). Lysates were cleared by centrifugation at (12 000 rpm at 4°C for 20 min) and levels of total cellular protein tested using the DC™ protein assay kit according to manufacturer’s instructions (Bio-Rad).

Protein blotting

Fifteen to twenty-five micrograms of extracted total cellular protein were separated using either 8% SDS-page gels or NuPAGE® Novex 3–8% Tris-Acetate Protein Gels. Primary antibodies are described above. Secondary antibodies were purchased from Dako and signal detected using the enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL, Amersham) western blot analysis system.
Results

Identification of a p.E330K mutation in CLTCL1 in a consanguineous, painless family

We ascertained a consanguineous family of Balochi origin after the birth of their second child—subsequently a third affected child was born (Fig. 1A). All were unable to sense pain from birth and were unresponsive to soft touch. By 3 months it was apparent that they were developmentally delayed and in those >2 years it became clear that they had severe, non-progressive learning difficulties. Motor movements and strength were normal and hot and cold could be perceived. Thus the phenotype was novel and distinct from known causes of Mendelian congenital painlessness such as hereditary sensory and autonomic neuropathy type IV or V (Rotthier et al., 2012). For further clinical details, see the online Supplementary material.

To find the causative gene mutation, autozygosity mapping was performed and the results analysed on the assumption of autosomal recessive inheritance. Using Affymetrix SNP chips we delineated five shared homozygous and concordant regions in the affected family members—comprising a total of 49 cM (1.5% of genome). Exome analysis was performed on one affected child and analysis targeted to the five regions identified. Only one
candidate mutation was identified, c.988 G>A in CLTCL1, which was homozygous, previously unidentified, and potentially pathogenic (Fig. 1C and Supplementary material). The CLTCL1 mutation segregated in the family as expected for an autosomal recessive, with all three affected individuals homozygous for the mutation, the parents both heterozygous and the one unaffected sibling available for analysis, also heterozygous. Furthermore, we did not find the mutation in 360 control chromosomes or in the 1000 Genomes project (2012). We found no other potentially pathogenic, concordant, homozygous or biallelic mutations in the affected children.

CLTCL1 encodes the minor clathrin heavy chain (CHC22), a relatively understudied protein proposed to be involved in intracellular endosome trafficking (Vassilopoulos et al., 2009). The common clathrin heavy chain (CHC17) is encoded by the gene CLTC on chromosome 17. CHC17 is expressed at a far higher level than CHC22 and is present ubiquitously in all cell types; however, the pattern of CHC22 expression differs between tissues and during development (Kedra et al., 1996; Vassilopoulos et al., 2009). The mutation identified in CLTCL1 leads to the substitution of an invariant glutamic acid to a lysine, p.E330K, which is positioned at the C-terminus of the seven bladed WD repeat containing β-propeller that forms the adaptor binding domain of the clathrin heavy chains. Position 330–331 in the clathrin heavy chain is occupied by a pair of amino acids with negatively charged side chains (predominantly glutamic acid, less often aspartic acid). This pair of amino acids is invariant in both clathrin heavy chain proteins in all mammals (with the exception of rodents that have no CLTCL1 gene), fish, amphibians, birds, and the phylogenies with a single CLTC gene, e.g. insects, worm and slime mould (Fig. 1C).

p.E330K renders CHC22 non-functional in rescuing endocytosis at the cell membrane

To assess the functional significance of the p.E330K mutation, it was introduced into a previously reported, functional N-terminal GFP-tagged CHC22 construct (constructs i and ii) (Hood and Royle, 2009) (Fig. 2). There was no difference in the steady state levels of mutant CHC22 versus wild-type CHC22 when expressed in HEK293 cells, nor did this affect the stability of CHC17 (Fig. 3A and B). Further, when produced in E. coli, we found that the mutation did not affect folding of the clathrin N-terminal β-propeller domain (Supplementary Fig. 1).

We sought to investigate CHC22 endocytic function using the validated transferrin internalization assay. Transferrin receptors are internalized upon binding exogenous fluorescent tagged transferrin by CHC17-containing clathrin coated vesicles (CCVs). Knockdown of CHC17 blocks this process (Hood and Royle, 2009; Esk et al., 2010). CHC22 has been shown to be able to substitute for CHC17 function and mediate CCV-dependent transferrin internalization, but only when expressed at high levels (comparable to wild-type CHC17 expression levels) and in the absence of endogenous CHC17 (Liu et al., 2001; Towler et al., 2004; Hood and Royle, 2009; Esk et al., 2010; Brodsky, 2012). We therefore used this assay to test if this mutation affects CHC22 CCV function in vivo using constructs either expressing wild-type or p.E330K containing CHC22, while simultaneously knocking down endogenous CHC17 (constructs v and vi; Fig. 2) (Royle et al., 2005; Hood and Royle, 2009). We verified our methodology by showing significant reduction in the uptake of fluorescent transferrin in cells treated with CHC17 shRNA compared to GFP control cells (transfection with constructs iii and iv; Fig. 3B and C). Constructs v and vi were transfected into HEK293 cells to produce cells lacking CHC17 and expressing GFP-tagged CHC22 (Fig. 3B). In these CHC17-deficient cells, over-expression of CHC22.WT was sufficient to induce significant transferrin endocytosis. However, the mutant CHC22.E330K was unable to rescue the deficiency of CHC17 (Fig. 3B and D). This was also reflected in reduced co-localization between mutant CHC22.E330K and transferrin compared with the wild-type construct (Fig. 3E). These data demonstrate that the p.E330K mutation disrupts the ability of CHC22 to rescue clathrin-mediated endocytosis, thus suggesting that the p.E330K is a pathogenic mutation.

CLTCL1 is highly expressed in the developing human brain and is downregulated in the postnatal cortex

The expression of CLTCL1 compared to that of CLTC is quite modest, with skeletal muscle displaying the highest expression of any tissue tested to date (Vassilopoulos et al., 2009). We hypothesized that if CLTCL1 were to play a neurodevelopmental role, its expression pattern might reveal an upregulation in the developing human brain. To address this question, we analysed transcriptional data previously published as part of the Developmental Transcriptome published by the Allen Institute (http://brainspan.org/). The gene expression data for both CLTC and CLTCL1 were downloaded and analysed for the periods spanning from embryonic (9 weeks post-conception) until adult (40 years old). We identified that throughout all regions of the cortex analysed, CLTCL1 displayed a peak of expression between 12 and 13 weeks post-conception, which then dropped between 4- and 7-fold by early childhood (Fig. 4A). However, the expression of CLTC was consistent throughout prenatal brain development and at no developmental stage was up- or downregulated by >30% (likely indicating experimental variability, or variance between test subjects) (Fig. 4B). The hippocampus and striatum also displayed increased CLTCL1 expression.
prenatally although peaking later in prenatal development (Weeks 17–21), also a less marked reduction of expression after birth (Fig. 4A). The thalamus and cerebellum did not show significantly increased CLTCL1 expression prenatally (data not shown).

Next we transformed the relative expression data to determine the comparative expression levels of CLTCL1 and CLTC during brain cortex development. The percentage of clathrin heavy chain represented by CLTCL1 in the developing prenatal cortex was between 5 and 5.7% on average (Q1 4.3–4.6%, Q3 5.5–6.7%). After birth, this value significantly dropped to between 2.8 and 3.0% total clathrin heavy chain expression represented by CLTCL1 (Q1 1.7–2.1%, Q3 3.1–3.6%) (Fig. 4B).

CHC22 essential for pain neuron development

The phenotype of affected children harbouring the CLTCL1 mutation indicates both a central and peripheral nervous system defect. Having found CLTCL1 to be expressed at an increased level in the prenatal cortex and to show an expression profile suggestive of a neurodevelopmental role, we sought to define a possible role for CHC22 during neurogenesis. To achieve this we investigated the expression profile of CHC22 during peripheral nervous system development from neural crest to sensory neuron-like in the development of a pain neuron.

Figure 2 DNA plasmid constructs used in this study. (A) The eight constructs used in this study, colour coded for each feature. (B) Summary of the effects of transfection of each construct (i–viii) on CHC17 and CHC22 level.
system development in culture. Both pain and touch sensing neurons originate from neural crest precursor cells (Liu and Ma, 2011). Pain sensing nociceptors predominantly express the transmembrane receptor TRKA (encoded by NTRK1), which is activated by the neurotrophin NGFβ (encoded by NGF) during development to induce differentiation to a post-mitotic nociceptor. Touch sensing neuron development is similarly dependent upon activation of transmembrane TRKB (encoded by NTRK2) by the neurotrophin BDNF (Chao, 2003; Marmigere and Ernfors, 2007). Therefore, we studied: human induced pluripotent stem cells differentiated into pain neurons with small molecule inductors and NGFβ (Chambers et al., 2012); and human SH-SY5Y cells, which are neural crest derived and differentiate into neural cells following exposure to all-trans retinoic acid and BDNF (Kaplan et al., 1993). The differentiation of these cells progresses from a stem-cell like morphology, to a mitotic cell exhibiting significant neurite outgrowth, and finally to a post-mitotic neuronal cell (Fig. 5A and B). In both systems induction of neuronal differentiation and

Figure 3  p.E330K in CLTCL1 is a pathogenic mutation. (A) The p.E330K mutation has no effect on the steady state levels of CHC22 or CHC17 in HEK293 cells determined by western blotting. (B) Transferrin internalization assay was used to determine the functionality of the p.E330K mutant in HEK293 cells. Red signal represents transferrin-Alexa Fluor 546, green indicates GFP or CHC22.GFP, and blue DAPI. (C) Cells transfected with control shRNA and tagged with GFP (construct iii) display normal transferrin internalization, whereas transfection with shRNA against CHC17 (construct iv) significantly inhibited transferrin internalization (P < 0.001). (D) Cells transfected with the same shRNA construct against CHC17 also expressing wild-type CHC22 (construct v) displayed functional transferrin internalization, whereas cells transfected with the same construct except carrying the p.E330K mutation (construct vi) demonstrated no rescue of transferrin internalization (P < 0.001 compared with pBrain.CHC22.WT). (E) There was also a reduced co-localization of CHC22.E330K (construct vi) with fluorescent transferrin compared with CHC22.WT (construct v) tested by Pearson Co-localization Coefficient (P < 0.005). (C) Represents the pooled data from two independent repeats confirming the previously demonstrated effect of CHC17 knock down on transferrin internalization (Esk et al., 2010; Willox and Royle, 2012). At least 58 cells counted for each transfection condition. (D) Represents the pooled data from four independent experiments normalized to pBrain.CHC22.WT. At least 136 cells counted for each transfection. (E) Represents pooled data from three independent experiments. Statistical tests were two-tailed Student’s t-tests. Error bars represent standard error. For explanation of the constructs used in greater detail, see Fig. 2.
Figure 4  **CLTCL1 is highly expressed in the developing human cortex.** Heat maps demonstrating the expression profiles of CLTCL1 (A) and CLTC (B), respectively, throughout development of various regions of the human brain. Note the increased expression of CLTCL1 during early prenatal development and a drop after birth contrasting with the more linear expression profile for CLTC. The colours of the heat map are expression values, transformed to a log2 scale and calculated as a percentage of the highest expression time point for each brain region, respectively. The colour scale ranges from dark blue representing low expression through yellow to dark red representing high expression. The key below demonstrates the colours assigned to each percentage expression. Blank boxes represent where no data were available. (B) Graphs comparing the percentage of clathrin heavy chain expression (CLTC and CLTCL1) represented by CLTCL1 in various regions of the cortex. All regions show a significant downregulation in the proportion of clathrin expression attributed to CLTCL1 after birth. On average CLTCL1 was between and 6% of total clathrin before birth and ~3% after birth. Horizontal bars represent the mean and error bars, the standard error. Significance tests were Student’s t-test. Three stars represent $P < 0.0001$. 

---

**Key:**
- ORF = Orbital prefrontal cortex
- DPC = Dorsolateral prefrontal cortex
- BBC = Ventrolateral prefrontal cortex
- BC = Anterior cingulate
- PN = Parietal neocortex
- TN = Temporal neocortex
neurite outgrowth was accompanied by a significant down-regulation of CHC22 protein levels (Fig. 5C and D).

**CHC22 downregulation drives neurite outgrowth**

To test if the fall in CHC22 levels was involved in inducing neural crest cell differentiation or was a consequence of it, we designed two siRNAs against **CLTCL1**, both of which we confirmed to induce significant downregulation of CHC22 protein levels at Day 5 (Fig. 6A). SH-SY5Y cells treated with either siRNA against **CLTCL1** displayed a dramatic change in cellular morphology with a more neuronal phenotype characterized by significantly increased neurite length per cell and percentage of cells bearing a neurite (defined as greater than twice the cell body length) (Fig. 6B–D). We confirmed that the effects on neurite outgrowth were due to CHC22 knockdown and not off-target effects by rescuing these effects by over expression of CHC22 in SH-SY5Y cells. A CHC22 wild-type construct was made resistant to siCHC22.2 (construct vii, Fig. 2) by site-directed mutagenesis and stably introduced into SH-SY5Y cells. Treatment of these cells with siRNA against endogenous **CLTCL1** failed to induce significant neurite outgrowth indicating rescue of the knockdown induced phenotype (Fig. 6F and G).

In SH-SY5Y cells, differentiation with retinoic acid is accompanied by an upregulation of TRKB that is essential for induction of differentiation (Fig. 5C and 6E) (Esposito et al., 2008). Therefore, we assessed whether CHC22 was

---

**Figure 5 CHC22 is downregulated upon differentiation from a neural crest type cell to a sensory neuron-like cell.** (A) Schematic representation of the differentiation of human induced pluripotent stem cells differentiated into pain neurons with small molecule inductors and NGFβ and the differentiation of SH-SYSY neural crest derived neuroblasticoma cells with all-trans retinoic acid (RA) and BDNF. (B) Representative phase contrast image of SH-SYSY cells treated with retinoic acid or control DMSO (dimethyl sulphoxide) for 5 days; note the appearance of long neurites extending from the cell body in the retinoic acid treated cells. (C) CHC22 is significantly downregulated upon treatment with retinoic acid, whereas TRKB shows a significant upregulation under the same conditions. (D) CHC22 is downregulated across Days 5–7 of pain neuron differentiation from human pluripotent stem cells, occurring at the transition of neural crest to sensory neuron cells and induction of neurite outgrowth. For full time course, see Supplementary Fig. 3.
involved in the vesicular trafficking of TRKB in differentiating SH-SY5Y cells and found no evidence of this. Furthermore, while treatment with retinoic acid caused a reduction in CHC22 accompanied by TRKB upregulation, knockdown of CLTCL1 induced a similar morphological phenotype but with no change in TRKB levels. This indicates that CHC22 loss induces differentiation in a TRKB-independent manner (Fig. 6E).

**p.E330K renders CHC22 unable to negatively regulate neurite outgrowth**

Next, we sought to confirm pathogenicity of the p.E330K mutation by testing whether it affected the function of CHC22 in neuronal differentiation. We manufactured a construct bearing the familial CHC22 mutation that was resistant to knockdown (construct viii; Fig. 2) and again made stable SH-SY5Y cell lines. In these cells, despite expression of CHC22.E330K, knockdown of endogenous CHC22 induced neurite outgrowth, indistinguishable from the effects observed in untransfected parental cells (Fig. 6F and G). These data further confirm the induction of neurite outgrowth to be a CHC22-specific effect, that CHC22 is an essential negative regulator of sensory neuron differentiation, and that the p.E330K mutation renders CHC22 unable to function in this process.

**CHC22 downregulation is an essential aspect of retinoic acid-mediated differentiation**

Having demonstrated that CHC22 overexpression could rescue the differentiation phenotype associated with siRNA-mediated knockdown of CHC22, we proceeded to investigate if downregulation of CHC22 was essential for differentiation by retinoic acid treatment. We took SH-SY5Y cells stably overexpressing CHC22 WT.GFP or CHC22 p.Glu330Lys.GFP and parental cells as a control, and treated them with 10 μM retinoic acid for 5 days. After 5 days we imaged the cells (Fig. 6A) and quantified neurite outgrowth. Although parental cells showed a significant increase in both the percentage of cells bearing a neurite greater than two cell bodies in length, and in the total neurite length per cell, cells expressing wild-type CHC22 were resistant to neurite outgrowth induction by retinoic acid (Fig. 7B and C). As expected, cells expressing the CHC22 mutant protein remained able to undergo differentiation, in similar proportion to that of parental cells (Fig. 7B and C).

**Discussion**

We report the first cases of a novel syndrome of absent pain and touch sensing with cognitive delay. Genetic mapping and exome sequencing identified the potential causative mutation to reside in the CLTCL1 gene encoding CHC22, the second clathrin heavy chain protein. The common clathrin heavy chain, CHC17 is ubiquitously expressed, whereas CHC22 displays a more restricted expression pattern. The functional unit of clathrin, known as a triskelion, is composed of three trimerized heavy chain subunits and three light chain subunits. Triskelia self-assemble on membranes forming a regular, polyhedral lattice associated with the formation of endosomes (Brodsky, 2012). The CHC17 protein functions in numerous endosomal trafficking pathways including receptor-mediated endocytosis and endosomal sorting at the trans-golgi network. However, the role of CHC22 is less well established but has been demonstrated to have a non-redundant role in the intracellular trafficking of the GLUT4 glucose transporter (encoded by SLC2A4) in postnatal muscle and fat tissue (Vassilopoulos et al., 2009). Despite significant structural similarity, CHC22 and CHC17 seem to functional similarly only when CHC22 is expressed at higher than physiological levels (Esk et al., 2010). Here we identify an additional, non-redundant role for CHC22 in the development of nociceptive and mechanoreceptive neurons.

We found our family’s mutation to be pathogenic in an in vitro assay of receptor-mediated endocytosis at the cell membrane, albeit at non-physiological levels where CHC22.WT or CHC22.E330K were overexpressed by transfection and with CHC17 simultaneously knocked down. In the presence of CHC22 at physiological levels and absence of CHC17, transferrin internalization is blocked thus confirming that CHC22 alone cannot induce clathrin-mediated endocytosis in HEK293 cells. We therefore propose that CHC22 is likely to possess a distinct function to that of CHC17 when expressed at normal cellular levels.

Previous studies have shown that CHC17 is much more abundant than CHC22, and that after birth CHC22 is expressed at higher levels in muscle cells where it functions in a cell-specific role in the formation of the GLUT4 storage compartment (Vassilopoulos et al., 2009). We hypothesized that were CHC22 to also play a cell-specific role in neurogenesis, it would also likely be expressed at higher levels in the developing brain and that its expression pattern might mimic that of other known neurodevelopmental genes showing increase until mid-foetal development, and then gradual decline with age until early childhood (Kang et al., 2011; Tebbenkamp et al., 2014). Re-analysing data from the ‘Brainspan Atlas of the Developing Human Brain’, we found that in all regions of the cortex tested and in the hippocampus and striatum, CLTCL1 was significantly increased during early prenatal development, dropping by as much as 7-fold by early childhood. This was in contrast to the expression of CLTC whose level is maintained throughout the course of neurodevelopment. This gene expression trajectory strongly suggests that CHC22 functions in neurodevelopment, potentially affecting neural progenitors or immature neurons which are known to develop at the time of peak CLTCL1 expression (Tebbenkamp et al., 2014).
To our surprise we found that during the development of human neural crest-derived cell systems for TRKA/pain and TRKB/touch neuron production, CHC22 is downregulated, and that downregulation of CHC22 by siRNA actively induces the differentiation of neural precursors. The inability to induce this effect in cells stably expressing CHC22 demonstrates that CHC22 has to be lost for development to progress. In contrast, persistent expression of mutant CHC22 was unable to rescue knockdown of endogenous CHC22 in this process, thus further confirming pathogenicity of the p.E330K mutation in CLTCL1 and suggesting the pathogenic mechanism for the mutation in our family. We conclude that CHC22 is an essential negative regulator for the subset of neural crest progenitors that produce pain and touch sensing neurons.

CLTCL1 derived from a duplication of CLTC coincident with the emergence of vertebrates, an animal subphylum defined by the possession of a spinal column and neural crest (Wakeham et al., 2005). Notwithstanding selective pressures on CHC22 due to its function in postnatal,
vertebrate muscle cells, it is conceivable that during development, the original clathrin heavy chain might maintain a generalized endosomal function but the duplicated clathrin heavy chain adopt a role restricted to the newly emerged vertebrate nervous system. We have not investigated the specific cerebral cause of the cognitive disability in this family, but note that CHC22 has been previously linked to cerebral behavioural phenotypes (Chahrour et al., 2012).

There are two corollaries from our studies. Firstly, rodents have lost CLTCL1 and thus must have alternative pathway(s) to compensate for this. Thus, some pain research results generated in these animals may not be applicable to man. Secondly, new classes of analgesics have resulted from studying known Mendelian causes of painlessness e.g. the developmental genes NTRK1 and NGF (Holmes, 2012)—hopefully, similar advances will emerge from the further study of CHC22 and the developmental pathway it controls.
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