Nerve excitability changes in critical illness polyneuropathy
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Patients in intensive care units frequently suffer muscle weakness and atrophy due to critical illness polyneuropathy (CIP), an axonal neuropathy associated with systemic inflammatory response syndrome and multiple organ failure. CIP is a frequent and serious complication of intensive care that delays weaning from mechanical ventilation and increases mortality. The pathogenesis of CIP is not well understood and no specific therapy is available. The aim of this project was to use nerve excitability testing to investigate the changes in axonal membrane properties occurring in CIP. Ten patients (aged 37–76 years; 7 males, 3 females) were studied with electrophysiologically proven CIP. The median nerve was stimulated at the wrist and compound action potentials were recorded from abductor pollicis brevis muscle. Strength–duration time constant, threshold electrotonus, current–threshold relationship and recovery cycle (refractoriness, superexcitability and late subexcitability) were recorded using a recently described protocol. In eight patients a follow-up investigation was performed. All patients underwent clinical examination and laboratory investigations. Compared with age-matched normal controls (20 subjects; aged 38–79 years; 7 males, 13 females), CIP patients exhibited reduced superexcitability at 7 ms, from $/C_0^{22.3 \pm 1.6\%}$ to $/C_0^{7.6 \pm 3.1\%}$ (mean $\pm$ SE, $P < 0.0001$) and increased accommodation to depolarizing ($P < 0.01$) and hyperpolarizing currents ($P < 0.01$), indicating membrane depolarization. Superexcitability was reduced both in patients with renal failure and without renal failure. In the former, superexcitability correlated with serum potassium ($R = 0.88$), and late subexcitability was also reduced (as also occurs owing to hyperkalaemia in patients with chronic renal failure). In patients without renal failure, late subexcitability was normal, and the signs of membrane depolarization correlated with raised serum bicarbonate and base excess, indicating compensated respiratory acidosis. It is inferred that motor axons in these CIP patients are depolarized, in part because of raised extracellular potassium, and in part because of hypoperfusion. The chronic membrane depolarization may contribute to the development of neuropathy.
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Introduction

Critical illness polyneuropathy (CIP) is a predominantly distal, motor and sensory axonal polyneuropathy, affecting 30–70% of critical care patients (Berek et al., 1996; Leijten et al., 1996; Hund, 2001; Bolton, 2005; Latronico et al., 2005). It is often revealed by failure of weaning from artificial ventilation and contributes to mortality, rehabilitation problems and subsequent impaired quality of life (Zochodne et al., 1987). CIP is strongly associated with systemic inflammatory response syndrome and multiple organ dysfunction syndrome, but its aetiology is not well understood (Bolton, 1996). CIP has been attributed to either the build-up of neurotoxic factors in the blood or the rapid depletion of essential factors, or to endoneurial hypoxia caused by oedema and reduced microvascular blood flow (Druschky et al., 2001).

Nerve excitability testing is a non-invasive approach to investigating the pathophysiology of peripheral nerve
disorders, which determines the electrical properties of the nerve membrane at the site of stimulation. A recently developed computer-controlled excitability testing protocol measures multiple excitability parameters within 10 min which provide information about the axonal membrane potential, ion channels and ionic environment (Kiernan et al., 2000). For example, the technique has revealed membrane potential changes in patients with chronic renal failure, and with multifocal motor neuropathy, that could not be detected by conventional nerve conduction studies (Kiernan et al., 2002a, b). Nerve excitability testing is therefore a highly appropriate tool for investigating CIP, in which membrane depolarization is a likely aetiological factor, whether caused by the toxic action of cytokines, anoxia or endoneurial hyperkalaemia. The present study was therefore undertaken to test the hypothesis that axons in CIP patients are depolarized and to compare abnormalities in excitability parameters with putative contributors to nerve damage, to improve our understanding of the pathophysiology and aetiology of this condition and ultimately to help reduce its incidence.

**Methods**

Recordings were made on 10 patients (aged 37–76 years; mean: 62.2 years; 7 males, 3 females) from the intensive care unit of two major London teaching hospitals. No patient had a history of pre-existing peripheral neuropathy or myopathy or suffered from a disease, such as diabetes mellitus, that is associated with polyneuropathy or myopathy. All patients suffered from CIP. The presence of CIP was assessed by clinical and electrophysiological evaluation. The patients were compared with 20 healthy control subjects (NC) with a similar age range (aged 38–79 years; mean: 58 years; 7 males, 13 females). (Blood gas and bicarbonate measurements were not available for 10 subjects, but the smaller control group used for comparing these parameters was otherwise similar to the larger group, aged 38–78 years; mean: 55.6 years; 3 males, 7 females.) The study was approved by the St Thomas’ Hospital Research Ethics Committee and by the National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery and the Institute of Neurology Joint Research Ethics Committee. The consent of subjects or relatives was obtained according to the Declaration of Helsinki.

**Clinical investigations**

Patients were clinically evaluated when a steady neurological state had been achieved after interruption of sedative drugs and neuromuscular blocking agents. The clinical signs of CIP were progressive muscle weakness with only minimal movement or complete paralysis in response to speech or painful stimulation and reduced muscle weakness with only minimal movement or complete muscular blocking agents. The clinical signs of CIP were progressive.

Patients were clinically evaluated when a steady neurological state had been achieved after interruption of sedative drugs and neuromuscular blocking agents. The clinical signs of CIP were progressive muscle weakness with only minimal movement or complete paralysis in response to speech or painful stimulation and reduced muscle weakness with only minimal movement or complete muscular blocking agents. The clinical signs of CIP were progressive.

The sequence of recordings was similar to that described previously (Kiernan et al., 2000), except for the determination of the strength–duration relationship and refractory period of transmission. Stimulus–response curves were recorded for test stimuli of 1 ms duration (Fig. 1A). The stimuli were increased in 6% steps, with two responses averaged for each step, until three averages were maximal. A target response was then set at 40% of the maximum and the 1 ms test stimuli adjusted automatically by the computer to maintain this peak CMAP amplitude. The test stimulus amplitude required to excite the target response was regarded as the threshold current for axons recruited at this level.
Proportional tracking was used whereby the change in stimulus amplitude from one trial to the next was proportional to the ‘error’, or difference between the last response and the target response (Bostock et al., 1998). The slope of the stimulus–response curve was used to set the constant of proportionality and to optimize the tracking efficiency.

The strength–duration relationship for the nerve was measured by comparing the threshold current for a 1 ms test stimulus with the threshold current for stimuli of 0.8, 0.6, 0.4 and 0.2 ms duration. Threshold electrotonus (Bostock et al., 1998) was then measured by recording the changes in threshold induced by 100 ms polarizing currents, ±40% of threshold. Responses to depolarizing currents start above the line, and those to hyperpolarizing currents below the line. Standard error bars of many depolarizing responses fall within the circles.

The final part of the protocol recorded the refractory period of transmission. Pairs of supramaximal stimuli were delivered at conditioning–test intervals of 10, 7, 5, 4, 3, 2 and 1 ms to investigate the time course of any changes in impulse transmission (Kuwabara et al., 2003). The intensity of the conditioning stimulus was 20% above that required for producing a maximal CMAP, and the second stimulus was set 50% higher than the first. The conditioned CMAP was measured after the response to the supramaximal conditioning stimulus had been subtracted. The amplitude of the conditioned CMAP was normalized to the unconditioned value.

**Laboratory examinations**

Laboratory examinations were performed either before or after, but always within 90 min of the electrophysiological investigations, and included serum levels of sodium, potassium, chloride, glucose,
ANOVA (analysis of variance) compares all three groups, whereas paired t-test or Wilcoxon matched pairs test compares measurements on CIP patients. Values are given as mean ± SEM; values of FDS and MOF are given as median and range (\(P < 0.05, \ldots, ***P < 0.001, \ldots, ****P < 0.0001, \ldots, *****P < 0.00001\)). FDS = functional disability score; MOF = multiple organ failure; CRP = C-reactive protein.

**Data analysis**

The following excitability parameters were derived from each recording: resting current–threshold slope (the slope of the current–threshold relationship, calculated from the polarizing currents between −10 and +10% of resting threshold); minimum current–threshold slope (the minimum slope, calculated by fitting a straight line to each three adjacent points in turn); relative refractory period (calculated from the recovery cycle data as the first intercept on the x-axis); superexcitability (the interpolated threshold change at an interstimulus interval of 7 ms N.B.; the normal measure of superexcitability, that is, the minimum mean of three adjacent points, was inappropriate for CIP patients, since in some cases there was no minimum); subexcitability (the maximum mean of three adjacent points of the recovery cycle); the threshold electrotonus parameters TEd[10–20 ms], TEd[90–100 ms], TEh[90–100 ms] [mean threshold reductions between the specified latencies for the 40% depolarizing (TEd) and hyperpolarizing (TEh) currents] and the refractory period of transmission (calculated as the interval between the conditioning and test stimulus that causes a drop of the amplitude of the conditioned CMAP of 50% compared with the amplitude of the CMAP induced by the conditioning stimulus). Data were compared using unpaired two-tailed \(t\)-tests. Relative refractory period measurements were compensated for temperature using the relationship found in normal control subjects (Kiernan et al., 2000).

**Results**

Patients’ and control subjects’ characteristics, including mean age, gender and clinical and laboratory characteristics are summarized in Table 1. All patients fulfilled the electrophysiological criteria for CIP, with decreased amplitudes of CMAPs in motor nerve conduction studies, fibrillation potentials and positive sharp waves in electromyography and severe depression \((n = 3)\) or absence \((n = 7)\) of SNAP amplitudes (in the absence of tissue oedema). No patient showed clinical or electrophysiological signs of neuromuscular transmission defect. All patients suffered multiple organ failure or sepsis. In four patients these two entities were combined. To determine which axonal properties are significantly affected in CIP, the first recordings from each patient (i.e. the 10 CIP-1 observations in Table 1) were compared with the data from the similarly aged controls. When possible, a second examination (CIP-2) was made ~2 weeks after the first, in case the development or progression of neuropathy could be related to the earlier measurements. In the event, the second examinations (average 15.1 ± 4.7 days later, mean ± SE) did not differ significantly from the first, either in clinical and laboratory data (Table 1) or in the nerve excitability measurements. For the purposes of determining the mechanisms responsible for the changes in excitability parameters, we combined the data from both sets of patient recordings (i.e. the 10 CIP-1 and 8 CIP-2 observations listed in Table 1).

Nerve excitability data for the CIP patients (first recordings) and normal control subjects are compared in Figs 1 and 2. Thresholds for a half-maximal response (1 ms stimulus) were higher in the patients (CIP-1: 10.0 ± 1.8 mA, mean ± SE, \(n = 10\); NC: 4.9 ± 0.7, \(n = 20\), \(P < 0.01\)) and the maximal patient CMAPs were significantly smaller (CIP-1: 3.7 ± 0.9 mV; NC: 8.0 ± 0.6 mV; \(P < 0.001\)) (Fig. 1A). The most significant abnormalities in the CIP patients were a ‘fanning-in’ of threshold electrotonus (i.e. a reduction in the absolute size of the threshold changes produced by polarizing currents) (Fig. 1C) and a reduction in superexcitability (Fig. 1D), both of which changes occur on membrane depolarization (Kiernan and Bostock, 2000). Thus the early depolarizing electrotonus, from 10 to 20 ms after a 40% of threshold depolarizing current (TEd[10–20 ms]) was

---

**Table 1 Clinical and laboratory characteristics of patients with CIP and normal control subjects**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Normal control subjects</th>
<th>CIP-1</th>
<th>CIP-2</th>
<th>(P)-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Numbers of patients</strong></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years)</strong></td>
<td>58.0 ± 3.2</td>
<td>62.2 ± 3.72</td>
<td>60.75 ± 4.71</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Male/female</strong></td>
<td>7/13</td>
<td>3/7</td>
<td>3/5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FDS</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3 (range: 1–6)</td>
<td>3 (range: 1–4)</td>
<td>0.71**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MOF</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (range: 1–3)</td>
<td>2.5 (range: 2–4–)</td>
<td>0.41**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sepsis</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Potassium</strong></td>
<td>4.01 ± 0.08</td>
<td>4.32 ± 0.15</td>
<td>4.15 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Creatinine</strong></td>
<td>73.7 ± 3.0</td>
<td>120.6 ± 34.08</td>
<td>94.25 ± 31.47</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CRP</strong></td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>121.3 ± 26.01</td>
<td>75.0 ± 26.5</td>
<td>0.00001*****</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>pO2</strong></td>
<td>9.91 ± 0.23</td>
<td>11.01 ± 7.8</td>
<td>11.32 ± 0.85</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>pCO2</strong></td>
<td>4.44 ± 0.21</td>
<td>5.84 ± 0.34</td>
<td>5.68 ± 0.35</td>
<td>0.005**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>pH</strong></td>
<td>7.44 ± 0.01</td>
<td>7.42 ± 0.01</td>
<td>7.44 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BE</strong></td>
<td>-0.66 ± 0.66</td>
<td>2.35 ± 0.87</td>
<td>3.94 ± 1.21</td>
<td>0.005**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bicarbonate</strong></td>
<td>22.32 ± 0.8</td>
<td>27.15 ± 0.82</td>
<td>29.24 ± 1.83</td>
<td>0.0001*****</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ANOVA (analysis of variance) compares all three groups, whereas paired t-test or Wilcoxon matched pairs test compares measurements on CIP patients. Values are given as mean ± SEM; values of FDS and MOF are given as median and range (\(P < 0.05, \ldots, ***P < 0.001, \ldots, ****P < 0.0001\)). FDS = functional disability score; MOF = multiple organ failure; CRP = C-reactive protein.
Nerve excitability in critical illness polyneuropathy

In previous excitability studies it has been found that the phase of late subexcitability in the recovery cycle can provide evidence about the mechanism of membrane depolarization, since it is generated by outward potassium currents and depends on the difference between the membrane potential and the potassium equilibrium potential (Ek). Thus, depolarization by applied currents (without changing extracellular potassium) increases late subexcitability (Kiernan and Bostock, 2000), depolarization by hyperkalaemia reduces late subexcitability (Kiernan et al., 2002b) and depolarization by acute ischaemia occurs with little or no change in late subexcitability (Kiernan and Bostock, 2000). In the 18 recordings from patients with CIP, subexcitability was unchanged on average (CIP-All: 10.7 ± 1.6; NC: 12.2 ± 1.1; P = 0.41), suggesting that depolarization was accompanied by a small increase in extracellular potassium, as occurs in acute ischaemia. However, we found that there was a significant correlation between late subexcitability and creatinine (R = 0.67, P < 0.005), and when the patient recordings were subdivided into 7 with evidence of renal failure (CIPRF+) and 11 without (CIPRF−), creatinine > 100 μM) and 11 without (CIPRF−, creatinine < 100 μM), there was a significant reduction of late subexcitability in the recordings from patients with renal failure, but not in those without (CIPRF+: 7.9 ± 1.2, P < 0.05; CIPRF−: 13.1 ± 0.9, P = 0.59). This provides evidence that intraneuronal potassium was high in the patients with renal failure. In contrast, superexcitability and electrotonus markers for membrane depolarization were not significantly different between the patients with renal failure and those without (Fig. 3), so that potassium was unlikely to be responsible for the depolarization in the majority of patients without renal failure.

The close relationship between membrane potential and extracellular potassium levels in the patients with renal failure is shown more directly by plotting the potential-sensitive excitability parameters superexcitability and TEd[10–20 ms] against serum potassium in Fig. 4. All the ellipses, in normal

![Fig. 2](http://brain.oxfordjournals.org/)

**Fig. 2** Mean current–threshold relationship (A) and its slope (B) for patients (filled circles) and normal controls (open circles). The slope of the current–threshold relationship is the threshold analogue of conductance, and increases on depolarization (to the right of vertical line), owing to activation of outwardly rectifying potassium channels, and also on extreme hyperpolarization (far left), owing to activation of inwardly rectifying I\(\text{Na}\). Patient curve is displaced to the left, indicating that rectifying channels are relatively depolarized.

Reduced from 70.1 ± 1.4% to 58.3 ± 2.4% (P < 0.001) and depolarizing electrotonus at 90–100 ms (TEd[90–100 ms]) was reduced from 46.6 ± 1.2% to 39.6 ± 2.2% (P < 0.01). Hyperpolarizing electrotonus at 90–100ms (TEh[90–100 ms]) was reduced from –131.0 ± 4.9% to –95.7 ± 8.8% (P < 0.001). Superexcitability at 7 ms was reduced by two-thirds, from –22.3 ± 1.6% to –7.6 ± 3.1% (P ≈ 0.0001). The changes in most other excitability parameters were also consistent with depolarization (Kiernan and Bostock, 2000). The relative refractory period was increased from 2.8 ± 0.09% to 3.9 ± 0.5% (P < 0.01), and the strength–duration time constants were longer in the patients, but not significantly so (CIP-1: 0.44 ± 0.05 ms; NC: 0.41 ± 0.02 ms; P = 0.44) (Fig. 1B). The current–threshold relationship, the threshold analogue of the current–voltage (I/V) relationship, is shown in Fig. 2A, and the slope of this curve, which is the threshold analogue of conductance, is plotted in Fig. 2B. The resting slope was increased in the patients (CIP-1: 0.93 ± 0.22; NC: 0.57 ± 0.02; P < 0.05) consistent with membrane depolarization (Kiernan and Bostock, 2000). Perhaps the clearest evidence for depolarization is that the ‘I/V slope’ in Fig. 2B is shifted to the left in the patients; the threshold analogue of a shift of the conductance changes to more negative potentials [or of the resting potential (Er) to a more depolarized value, at which the slope is higher].

Although there was overlap between the control and CIP groups for all excitability parameters, 7 of the 18 measurements of superexcitability and 5 of the 18 measurements of TEd[10–20 ms] in CIP patients fell outside the 95% confidence limits for a healthy subject.

**Nerve excitability changes and renal failure**

In previous excitability studies it has been found that the phase of late subexcitability in the recovery cycle can

![Image](http://brain.oxfordjournals.org/)

provide evidence about the mechanism of membrane depolarization, since it is generated by outward potassium currents and depends on the difference between the membrane potential and the potassium equilibrium potential (Ek). Thus, depolarization by applied currents (without changing extracellular potassium) increases late subexcitability (Kiernan and Bostock, 2000), depolarization by hyperkalaemia reduces late subexcitability (Kiernan et al., 2002b) and depolarization by acute ischaemia occurs with little or no change in late subexcitability (Kiernan and Bostock, 2000). In the 18 recordings from patients with CIP, subexcitability was unchanged on average (CIP-All: 10.7 ± 1.6; NC: 12.2 ± 1.1; P = 0.41), suggesting that depolarization was accompanied by a small increase in extracellular potassium, as occurs in acute ischaemia. However, we found that there was a significant correlation between late subexcitability and creatinine (R = 0.67, P < 0.005), and when the patient recordings were subdivided into 7 with evidence of renal failure (CIPRF+) and 11 without (CIPRF−), creatinine > 100 μM) and 11 without (CIPRF−, creatinine < 100 μM), there was a significant reduction of late subexcitability in the recordings from patients with renal failure, but not in those without (CIPRF+: 7.9 ± 1.2, P < 0.05; CIPRF−: 13.1 ± 0.9, P = 0.59). This provides evidence that intraneuronal potassium was high in the patients with renal failure. In contrast, superexcitability and electrotonus markers for membrane depolarization were not significantly different between the patients with renal failure and those without (Fig. 3), so that potassium was unlikely to be responsible for the depolarization in the majority of patients without renal failure.

The close relationship between membrane potential and extracellular potassium levels in the patients with renal failure is shown more directly by plotting the potential-sensitive excitability parameters superexcitability and TEd[10–20 ms] against serum potassium in Fig. 4. All the ellipses, in normal
controls as well as both patient groups, show a similar slope, corresponding to membrane depolarization with an increase in serum potassium, but only in the patients with renal failure are the correlations significant.

**Nerve excitability and chronic respiratory acidosis**

Table 1 shows that whereas pH was normal in the patients, serum levels of pCO₂, BE, bicarbonate and corrected anion gap were abnormally high. This indicates that the patients were suffering from chronic respiratory acidosis, combined with metabolic alkalosis. When each of these levels was tested for correlation with the excitability parameters within the 18 patient recordings, only bicarbonate and BE showed significant correlations with multiple excitability indices of membrane potential. Excitability indices of membrane potential correlated with serum bicarbonate levels, but only in the patients without renal failure. Figure 5 is analogous to Fig. 4, with bicarbonate replacing potassium as the abscissa, and shows a complementary relationship: whereas in patients with kidney failure membrane potential was strongly related to serum potassium, in patients with preserved kidney function membrane potential is more closely related to serum bicarbonate, and therefore to the chronic respiratory acidosis.

**Nerve excitability changes and other factors**

We have seen evidence that the membrane depolarization in CIP patients is related to extracellular potassium in patients with renal failure and to respiratory acidosis in those without. Here, we test whether these two factors together can account for the membrane depolarization, or whether other factors, such as the unknown toxic factors previously hypothesized, are likely to be involved. Table 2 shows the fraction of the variance in excitability parameters accounted for by correlation with serum potassium, serum bicarbonate and skin temperature, and by multiple correlations with all three variables combined. Temperature is included, since relative refractory period is known to be particularly sensitive to temperature. The analysis is performed for the combined group of patients and controls, as well as for the separate patient and control groups, since we are interested in factors accounting for the differences between patients and controls.
as well as the variation within the patient group. Consistent with the results reported above, among the patients early depolarizing threshold electrotonus is significantly correlated with serum potassium in those with renal failure, and with bicarbonate in those without renal failure, but the combination of potassium and bicarbonate accounts for over 50% of the variance, and for almost 70% of the variance in the combined group of patients and controls. For the other strongly potential-dependent excitability parameters, such as superexcitability, a high proportion of the variance is also explained by correlation with the same two variables. These figures indicate that any independent factor can only account for a relatively small fraction of the changes in membrane potential. For example, C-reactive protein, an indicator of acute inflammation, might have been expected to correlate with neurotoxic factors affecting nerve excitability (Druschky et al., 2001). However, there was no significant correlation between C-reactive protein levels and any of the excitability parameters in the patients.

Discussion
CIP was first described in 1984 (Bolton et al., 1984) and has subsequently become widely recognized as a common polyneuropathy, occurring in 50–70% of patients who are critically ill or injured (Berek et al., 1996; Leijten et al., 1996; Hund, 2001). Such patients have a syndrome now termed the systemic inflammatory response syndrome (Bolton, 1996). This syndrome occurs in response to both infection and several forms of trauma, including major surgery and has been attributed to humoral neurotoxic factors (Druschky et al., 2001). After the development of systemic inflammatory response syndrome in a critical care unit, the earliest nervous system manifestation is encephalopathy, which can gradually progress to deep coma. If the syndrome responds to treatment (antibiotics, surgical drainage of an infected focus, supportive measures) the encephalopathy usually improves rapidly, but at this time difficulty in weaning from the ventilator will be noted. Studies have shown that the most common neuromuscular cause for such weaning difficulty is CIP (Zochodne et al., 1987; Lemaire, 1993). However, clinical signs of polyneuropathy are present in only half of these patients. Hence electrophysiological studies are necessary for establishing the diagnosis. The morphological features of CIP have been revealed through biopsy of peripheral nerve and muscle and a comprehensive autopsy study (Zochodne et al., 1987). These studies indicate primary distal axonal degeneration of

Fig. 4 Excitability parameters related to serum potassium and renal failure. In each plot, circles represent subset of CIP recordings (filled circles: with renal failure, open circles: without renal failure), dashed ellipses are 95% confidence limits for the group, and R-values are correlation coefficients (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01). Solid ellipses indicate mean ± standard deviation of normal controls (~68% limits).
peripheral motor and sensory nerve fibres, but up to now the aetiology of the axonal neuropathy has remained unclear.

The current diagnostic criteria for CIP require patient history, clinical data and electrophysiological evidence of an axonal motor and sensory polyneuropathy (Bolton, 2005). The differential diagnosis of CIP includes other neuromuscular transmission defects and myopathies, of which the most important one is critical illness myopathy. According to neurophysiological (Rich et al., 1997) and biopsy (Latronico et al., 1996) studies, myopathies occur much more frequently during critical illness than previously recognized. Three main types, often termed together as acute quadriplegic myopathy or acute myopathy of intensive care, have been identified: a diffuse non-necrotizing myopathy ('critical illness myopathy'), myopathy with selective loss of thick (myosin) filaments and the acute necrotizing myopathy of intensive care (Hund, 1999). Differentiating CIP from critical illness myopathy is difficult, and sometimes these two syndromes can occur simultaneously. Both entities show low CMAP amplitudes in motor nerve conduction studies. Furthermore, needle electromyography is of little use in distinguishing between myopathy and polyneuropathy, because fibrillation potentials and positive sharp waves as well as decreased recruitment can be present in CIP and in critical illness myopathy. Stimulation of muscle directly and indirectly (by stimulating the nerves to the muscle) may provide some more information, but a reliable diagnosis can only be achieved after muscle and/or nerve biopsy (Sander et al., 2002; Bolton, 2005; Latronico et al., 2005). Depression or absence of SNAP amplitudes (in the absence of severe tissue oedema) is a strong indicator of CIP, since SNAP amplitudes are normal in pure critical illness myopathy. In the present study, all patients showed abnormally small SNAPs, and in 7 out of the 10 patients SNAPs were unrecordable, although the recording conditions were good. This finding, coupled with the fact that none of our patients had a previously documented polyneuropathy or suffered from a systemic disease known to be strongly associated with polyneuropathy, indicates the presence of CIP. This does not exclude the possibility that an accompanying critical illness myopathy may have contributed to the patients’ weakness. However, since nerve excitability tests study nerve alterations at the site of stimulation, and do not depend on the state of the muscle (provided CMAPs are recordable), the possible simultaneous occurrence of critical illness myopathy could not influence our measurements of nerve excitability.

Our results provide evidence that axons are depolarized in the CIP patients, and the excitability measurements also provide some interesting clues as to the likely mechanisms underlying membrane depolarization. In view of the previous evidence linking renal failure to depolarization via

---

**Fig. 5** Excitability parameters related to serum bicarbonate and renal failure, plotted as in Fig. 4.
hyperkalaemia (Kiernan et al., 2002b; Krishnan et al., 2005), and the high frequency of renal failure in critical care patients, there were strong a priori reasons for supposing that hyperkalaemia should be involved. However, there was little evidence from the mean serum potassium level (4.24 mM, n = 18) that hyperkalaemia could account for an appreciable degree of membrane depolarization. That leaves us with the question of what was the level of potassium in the tissues, especially in the vicinity of the axons. The best indicator of endoneurial potassium from nerve excitability measurements is the phase of late subexcitability in the recovery cycle. This occurs reliably in normal motor axons, owing to the activation of slow potassium channels during the action potential (Schwarz et al., 1995). These channels inactivate slowly, so that they normally generate a late hyperpolarizing after-potential and period of subexcitability, since the potassium equilibrium potential (Ek) is more negative than the resting potential (Er). The subexcitability therefore depends on the difference between these quantities: Er-Ek. Thus, subexcitability increases when an axon is depolarized by applied currents (Kiernan and Bostock, 2000), which make Er more positive without changing Ek, and subexcitability decreases when an axon is depolarized by extracellular potassium, which makes Ek more positive. In the CIP patients subexcitability was inversely correlated with creatinine, suggesting that renal insufficiency resulted in raised intraneural potassium, even though serum potassium remained relatively normal. (A direct effect of creatinine on the slow potassium channels can be excluded, since no such relationship was seen in the earlier study of patients with renal failure.) We therefore hypothesize that in the patients with renal insufficiency raised levels of endoneurial potassium contribute to the membrane depolarization and hence to the pathogenesis of CIP. In support of this, in spite of the small range of values, there was a significant correlation between serum potassium and excitability parameters in the patients with renal insufficiency (Fig. 4) (see also Table 2).

In patients without renal failure superexcitability was reduced to the same degree as in patients with additional renal failure, whereas late subexcitability in the recovery cycle was unchanged compared with normal control subjects. This finding provides evidence of another mechanism of membrane depolarization than in patients with additional acute renal failure. Similar changes of superexcitability and unchanged late subexcitability have been reported previously in acute ischaemia (Kiernan and Bostock, 2000). Furthermore, significant correlations between superexcitability or TEd[10–20 ms] and the measured serum bicarbonate and BE were present in this patient group (Fig. 5). Although pH was within normal limits in all patients, pCO2, bicarbonate, BE and corrected anion gap were moderately to abnormally raised. These findings suggest a mixed acid–base disorder consisting of compensated respiratory acidosis and metabolic alkalosis in these patients. The question arises of whether the increase in pCO2, by causing intracellular acidification, was thereby somehow responsible for the membrane depolarization. This seems unlikely, since a high concentration of pCO2 has been found to cause a ‘fanning-out’, rather than a ‘fanning-in’ of electrotonus, by blocking potassium channels (Grafe et al., 1994). A more plausible interpretation is that the reason why membrane depolarization is related to bicarbonate and pCO2 is that both depolarization and respiratory acidosis are caused by poor oxygenation of the tissues. This was not evident from the recorded O2 values, because O2 was maintained by artificial ventilation and/or oxygen treatment. Furthermore, all patients of this study suffered from systemic hypotension during their stay in intensive care, and were therefore treated with noradrenalin to maintain a sufficient systemic blood pressure. The combination of these factors probably leads to a further hypoperfusion of the small capillaries of the nerves and via a local lack of oxygen to an accumulation of acidic metabolites.

The degree of membrane depolarization seen in the axons of the CIP patients was similar to that reported previously in patients with chronic renal failure (Kiernan et al., 2002b). It was hypothesized that the chronic membrane depolarization might be instrumental in causing the axonal damage of uraemic neuropathy, by interfering with potential-dependent mechanisms of ionic homeostasis (e.g. Na+/Ca2+ exchange) essential for cellular viability (Kiernan et al., 2002b; Bostock et al., 2004). On this basis, it seems reasonable to propose that in the critically ill patients the chronic axonal membrane depolarization due to hyperkalaemia and/or

---

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serum potassium</th>
<th>Serum bicarbonate</th>
<th>Skin temperature</th>
<th>Three variables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Superexcitability</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIP</td>
<td>0.211</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC + CIP</td>
<td>0.265**</td>
<td>0.494**</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TEd (10–20 ms)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC</td>
<td>0.311*</td>
<td>0.398*</td>
<td>0.162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIP</td>
<td>0.245*</td>
<td>0.298*</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC + CIP</td>
<td>0.313***</td>
<td>0.552****</td>
<td>0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TEd (90–100 ms)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC</td>
<td>0.151</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>0.079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIP</td>
<td>0.173</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC + CIP</td>
<td>0.221**</td>
<td>0.356**</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TEn (90–100 ms)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC</td>
<td>0.355***</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>0.167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIP</td>
<td>0.247*</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC + CIP</td>
<td>0.339****</td>
<td>0.242**</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RRP</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC</td>
<td>0.181</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIP</td>
<td>0.274</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.452***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC + CIP</td>
<td>0.269**</td>
<td>0.122</td>
<td>0.261***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NC = normal control, CIP = patients with critical illness polyneuropathy, RRP = relative refractory period, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, ****P < 0.0001, *****P < 0.00001.
hypoperfusion may also be involved in the pathophysiology of the neuropathy. This would be consistent with the findings of Latronico et al. (1996) that most sensory nerves in early biopsies (median: 15 days sepsis) looked normal, despite having reduced SNAPs, while in late biopsies (56 days) electrophysiological and histological findings were concordant. They suggested that early impairment of axonal transport and transmembrane potential preceded structural damage.

In conclusion, we have found evidence that in these CIP patients peripheral nerves are depolarized, and the correlations with serum factors suggest that this membrane depolarization is related to endoneurial hyperkalaemia and/or hypoxia. While other mechanisms of depolarization may well be involved, the degree to which potential-sensitive nerve excitability indices are related to serum potassium and bicarbonate suggests that other factors, independent of potassium and acid–base balance, are likely to be of relatively minor significance.
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